



Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute for the Origin of Particles and the Universe



Tatsuhiro NAKA Toho University /KMI, Nagoya Univ.

MDvDM'24 meeting, Jan 8-11, Washington DC (+ zoom)

Collaboration and Support

Yuki IDO

Toho University

Tatsuhiro NAKA Hiroto SAITO

Kyoto University

Youhei IGAMI

Kanagawa University

Yasushi HOSHINO Takuya SHIRAISHI <u>JAMSTEC</u> Shigenobu HIROSE

Nagoya University

Shota FUTAMURA

Shingo KAZAMA

Yoshitaka ITOW

Takenori KATO

National Institute of Technology Suzuka College

Kaito TAKAMATU Tohma ORI

<u>The Pennsylvania State University</u> Kohta MURASE

Theoretical support for the Q-ball Prof. M. Kawasaki (U. Tokyo) and Prof. K. Hamaguchi (U. Tokyo)

Particle Physics X Astrophysics X Geoscience X Material Science



- 95 % of the universe is consist of unknown energy and matter.
- There are various evidence of existence of dark matter, e.g., motion of galaxy, gravitation lens, cosmic microwave background and so on.

Important problem for particle physics

- Hierarchy Problem
- GUT
- Generation of baryon and lepton number
- Asymmetry of matter-antimatter (CP violation)



Dark matter and new physics are need to understand this universe.

Dark Matter mass scale



Candidate : Q-ball, quark nugget, Nuclearite, etc. Mass scale : > 10²⁰ GeV

DM flux on the earth =
$$1.2 \times 10^7 / cm^2 / sec \left(\frac{v}{300 \text{ km/sec}}\right) \left(\frac{1 \text{ GeV/c}}{M_{DM}}\right)$$

Heavy DM expect to be very low flux

Dark Matter in the milky way galaxy

Dark matter flux on the earth 1.E+14 1.E+11 Maximum dark matter flux 1.E+08 1.E+05 1.E+02 1.E-01 1.E-04 rotol $\overline{\mathbf{O}}$ 1.E-07 Ē 1.E-10 Monopole, Q-ball, PBH 1.E-13 1.E+15 1.E+18 1.E+21 1.E+24 1.E+27 1.E+031.E+09

Dark matter mass [eV/c²]

Flux of 10²⁰ GeV/c² : < 10⁻¹³ /cm²/sec

For typical detector scale, O(1)/year or less

Paleo detector with geoscience scale is powerful methodology!

Q-ball

- Baryon or/and Lepton number generation
- Beyond Standard Model (e.g., SUSY) ⇒ Grand Unified Theory
- Dark Matter Candidate

Q-ball solution

Q-ball solution (Coleman, 1985)

Complex Scalar field φ with global U(1) symmetry

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu} \phi \right)^{*} \left(\partial_{\mu} \phi \right) - \mathrm{V}(\phi)$$

Global charge : $Q = \frac{1}{2i} \int d^3 x (\phi^* \dot{\phi} - \phi^* \dot{\phi})$ Energy : $E = \int d^3 x (|\dot{\phi}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + V(\phi))$

Q-ball = field configuration minimizing E with Q constant

minimizing

$$E_{\omega} = E + \omega \left[Q - \frac{1}{2i} \int d^3 x (\phi^* \dot{\phi} - \phi^* \dot{\phi}) \right]$$

 $\phi(x,t) = e^{i\omega t}\phi(x) = e^{i\omega t}\phi(r)$ Spherical symmetry



Affleck Dine mechanism in early universe

Flat field direction in the minimum supersymmetry standard model (MSSM) [squark, slepton, Higgs]

⇒ AD field as one of flat direction field ϕ has baryon or/and lepton number



Motion in phase direction due to θ -dependence



Generation of B and L number

Q-ball dark matter

Gage mediated SUSY breaking model

$$V(\Phi) = M_F^4 [\log(1 + \frac{|\Phi|^2}{M_{mess}^2}]^2 + m_{3/2}^2 |\Phi|^2 [1 + K \log\left(\frac{|\Phi|^2}{M_{mess}^2}\right)] \qquad A$$

Gage-mediation type
Q-ball is always formed
$$Q-ball is formed for K< 0$$

$$\frac{dM_Q}{dQ} = \sqrt{2}\pi\zeta M_F Q^{-1/4} \qquad < m_p \qquad \qquad \frac{dM_Q}{dQ} = m_{3/2} < m_p \qquad M_Q = m_{3/2} Q$$

 $m_{3/2}$: gravitino masss

Q-ball can be the Dark Matter because there is no lighter particle with baryon number than proton.

AD field oscillation has instability if V(ϕ) < ϕ^2



9

Stable "charged" Q-ball

- Q-ball with both B and L charge (e.g., u^cu^cd^ce^c)
- Stable against decay into protons
- Lepton component can decay into leptons



J.P. Hong, M. Kawasaki, M. Yamada, PRD, 92, 063521 (2015)

J.P. Hong, M. Kawasaki , PRD, 95, 123532 (2017)



Stable charged Q-ball condition

- Size of the electron cloud becomes smaller than the Q-ball radius
- Bohr radius is smaller than the Q-ball radius when the cloud starts to from
- Schwinger effect become effective

Condition of "charged" Q-ball dark matter

Evolution of charged Q-ball e $T \sim 8.6 \text{MeV}$ Q_{1S} Much heavy mass : ~ 10^{20} GeV $Q_{e} = \alpha^{-1} \sim 137$ BBN $T \sim 1 \text{MeV}$ +O(1) ionlike $_1Q_{1S}$ $T \sim 8.6 \text{keV}$ β:10⁻³ $Q_{neutral}$ or O^{-1} -He Q-ball atom $Q^{O(1)}$ $p - e^{-}$ recombination lization from keV to $T\sim 10^{-1}{\rm eV}$ eV scale following the Saha fomula

Jeong-Pyong Hong et al JCAP08(2016)053

★ time

As quite heavy atom-like particle, it should not be stopped in the material.



Q-ball search with the muscovite mica



Franceschi+ 2023

Energy loss of charged Q-ball in muscovite mica

if it's not main DM component)



Mostly same energy loss mechanism for α-recoil

Demonstration for passing-through tracks [Xe 500 MeV/u@ HIMAC, Japan]



Long high-ionization track candidate in the mineral on the moon

The pigeonite crystals from lunar rock

* Apolo 12 sample



P. B. Price and R. L. Fleischer, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Sci. 1971.21:295-334

Candidate

- If the heavy nuclei, it should have Z > 80
- Monopole or something ? (e.g., Q-ball)



The https://www.mindat.org/min-3210.html crystals from lunar rock

Calibration of the mica

Previous research

Track formation ability for the muscovite mica



Phys. Rev. 156, 2 (1967)

- Various studies by R.L. Fleischer, P.B. Price, R.M. Walker and so on have already been done.
- However, those studies was around 1960-1970, and we should do cross-check and updated again with current cutting-edge technologies.

Primary specific ionization rate

$$J = \frac{dI}{dt} = \left(\frac{\alpha Z_1^2}{I_0 \beta^2}\right) \left[ln \left\{ \frac{2m_e c^2 \beta^2}{(1 - \beta^2)I_0} \right\} - \beta^2 + 3.04 \right]$$



Detection ability has good correlation with primary ionization.

High energy ion beam

HIMAC @ QST

National Institute for Quantum Science and Technology, Japan https://www.qst.go.jp/site/qst-english/

[Accelerator for heavy ion therapy]





重粒子線棟

Available Ion beam

lon	Energy [MeV/u]
Не	150
С	135,290,350,400
Ne	230,400
Si	490
Ar	500
Fe	500
Хе	290





Phase contrast optical microscope image of Fe ion beam (500 MeV/u) [chemical etching with HF 46 % 25°C, 80 min]





Fe ion calibration at the HIMAC





 $l_{\text{origin}} = l_{\text{Experimental value}} - 2\nu_{EC}t_{EC}$ = 147.05 [um]

 l_{origin} : original length v_{EC} : etching velocity (~ 0.03µm/min) t_{EC} : etching time (80 mi) $l_{\text{Experimental value}}$: experimental track **length** by microscope

Threshold in high-speed area \rightarrow 14.26 \sim 14.43 MeV/mg/cm²

Angular dependence in track formation efficiency and optical track image with Xe ion beam at HIMAC





- Potential track volume related to etching might be related?
- Not much change in angle because the potential tracks are already developed where the stopping power is large?
 23

Tandem ion beam @JAEA, Japan

Ion : Fe Energy : 50 ~ 70 MeV Target : <u>muscovite mica</u>, artificial glass

Fe O(10) MeV in the mica 100.0 energy loss [GeV/cm] 10.0 1.0 Electron Stopping Power Nuclear Stopping Power 0.1 1.E-02 1.E-01 1.E+00 1.E+01 1.E+02 1.E+03 1.E+04 Projectrd range [µm]





- Kinetic energy : 70 MeV
- * Direct expose with monochromatic energy

Chemical etching condition •HF (45 %) •20°C, 80 min



Kinetic energy : 60 MeV

* Direct expose with monochromatic energy

Chemical etching condition •HF (45 %) •20°C, 80 min



Kinetic energy : ~ 6 MeV by attenuator



Chemical etching condition • HF (45 %) • 20°C, 80 min



Kinetic energy : ~ 3 MeV by attenuator



Chemical etching condition
•HF (45 %)
•20°C, 80 min





 $\Theta = 10^{\circ}$



Almost consistent response was observed with previous study by Fleischer, Price et al..

Automatic readout system

Expected achievement for Q-ball search with the mica + current cutting-edge technologies



Optical microscope scanning system

PTS system for nuclear emulsion scanning (NEWSdm experiment for directional DM search)



Application of optical readout system for nuclear emulsion

Current system : ~20h /100 cm² (optimized for nano-metric tracking with nuclear emulsion)



Optimization : 1h /100 cm² (for Paleo detector)



Wide view scanning : 10 min /100 cm² (only surface)

Now on construction !

New scanning system for the Paleo detector





Construction of automatic optical scanning system

- Driving stage installation was done
- Piezo actuator for Z driving and high speed camera will be installed soon.
- Scanning program will be diverted from nuclear emulsion scanning

Image Processing study

- > Optimal image processing is investigating
- Deep learning will be installed for more efficient event selection

First operation and search of the Q-ball-like tracks will be started in this year.

Expectation for searching the astroparticle physics parameter space



Conclusion

- Mineral is powerful detector for very low flux particles.
- Very heavy dark matter such as composite DM (e.g., Q-ball, quark nugget ••) are important targets.
- "Charged" Q-ball can be stable with around Z_Q~137, and it is expected to exist as very heavy atom
- Such charged Q-ball can be detected by the muscovite mica, and it's capability of searching with the highest sensitivity to any other experiment.
 Any other candidates are targets such as

the quark nuggets, monopole etc.

In this study, we conducted research to better understand detector (i.e., muscovite mica) more universally using any heavy ion accelerator.

<u>Task</u>

- > Detection capability for lower energy range (few MeV or less) will be researched.
- Investigation of track formation mechanism for low-velocity region which nuclear stopping power is higher than electron stopping power, also surely chemical etching effect.
- > Optical microscope system for large area scanning is constructing, and start the operation in this years