#### R. Plestid | UKY & FNAL | in collaboration with O. Tomalak & R.H.J. Hill



#### **NEUTRINO NUCLEUS SCATTERING AND**

# COULOMB CORRECTIONS









#### MOTIVATION



#### Percent level neutrino physics calls for percent level theory.



# Percent level neutrino physics calls for percent level theory.

#### MOTIVATION

QED effects are calculable, and large-logs and coherent effects can result in "large" effects  $\sim O(\alpha L^2/\pi)$  or  $O(Z\alpha)$ . See talk by O. Tomalak



#### MOTIVATION

Percent level neutrino physics calls for percent level theory.

QED effects are calculable, and large-logs and coherent effects can result in "large" effects  $\sim O(\alpha L^2/\pi)$  or  $O(Z\alpha)$ . See talk by O. Tomalak

expensive. Avoid introducing 9-dim integrals.

Coulomb corrections are theoretically "easy", but computationally Similar to optical potential



### MOTIVATION

- Percent level neutrino physics calls for percent level theory.
- QED effects are calculable, and large-logs and coherent effects can result in "large" effects  $\sim O\left(\alpha L^2/\pi\right)$  or  $O(Z\alpha)$ . See talk by O. Tomalak
- Coulomb corrections are theoretically "easy", but computationally expensive. Avoid introducing 9-dim integrals. Similar to optical potential
- This talk: Work towards a systematically improvable <u>analytic</u> treatment of Coulomb corrections. Aim towards consistent power counting and reliable error estimates.





![](_page_7_Picture_0.jpeg)

### INTRODUCTION

### SOFT PHOTON EXCHANGE WITH NUCLEUS

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

Spectator nucleus becomes a background field.

Coulomb field distorts lepton.

In this talk we will ignore nucleon FSI.

![](_page_9_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### **DISTORTED WAVE BORN SERIES**

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

Use out-state solution of Coulomb scattering problem.

S-matrix does not conserve momentum.

Loss of plane wave leads to loss of  $(2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\Sigma P)$ .

![](_page_10_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### **DISTORTED WAVE BORN SERIES**

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

ส เวิลส์ดไม่สะสะไส สีเสยลด ระดาว์

Use out-state solution of Coulomb scattering problem.

Loss of plane wave leads to loss of  $(2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\Sigma P)$ 

![](_page_11_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_8.jpeg)

### DISTORTED WAVE MATRIX ELEMENTS

 $S = (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(\Sigma P) i \mathscr{M} \longrightarrow S = 2\pi \delta(\Sigma E) i \mathsf{M}$ 

### $d\Pi(2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(\Sigma P) | \mathscr{M} |^2 \to d\Pi (2\pi) \delta(\Sigma E) | \mathsf{M} |^2 (\Sigma P)$

![](_page_12_Picture_3.jpeg)

 $L_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \left[ d^3x d^3y \operatorname{Tr} \left[ L_{\mu}(x) L_{\nu}(y) \right] \right]$ 

![](_page_12_Picture_6.jpeg)

### **DISTORTED WAVE MATRIX ELEMENTS**

 $S = (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(\Sigma P) i \mathscr{M} \longrightarrow S = 2\pi \delta(\Sigma E) i \mathsf{M}$ 

![](_page_13_Picture_4.jpeg)

 $L_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \left[ d^3x d^3y \operatorname{Tr} \left[ L_{\mu}(x) L_{\nu}(y) \right] \right]$ 

### $d\Pi(2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(\Sigma P) \left| \mathscr{M} \right|^2 \to d\Pi \ (2\pi) \delta(\Sigma E) \left| \mathsf{M} \right|^2 (\Sigma P)$

![](_page_13_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_9.jpeg)

### **EXISTING LITERATURE**

#### **EXISTING LITERATURE**

#### PHYSICAL REVIEW C

#### Approximate treatment of lepton distortion in charged-current neutrino scattering from nuclei

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-3255 (Received 18 November 1997)

The partial-wave expansion used to treat the distortion of scattered electrons by the nuclear Coulomb field is simpler and considerably less time-consuming when applied to the production of muons and electrons by low- and intermediate-energy neutrinos. For angle-integrated cross sections, however, a modification of the "effective-momentum" approximation seems to work so well that for muons the full distorted-wave treatment is usually unnecessary, even at kinetic energies as low as 1 MeV and in nuclei as heavy as lead. The method does not work as well for electron production at low energies, but there a Fermi function often proves perfectly adequate. Scattering of electron neutrinos from muon decay on iodine and of atmospheric neutrinos on iron is discussed in light of these results. [S0556-2813(98)04804-3]

PACS number(s): 25.30.Pt, 11.80.Fv

#### This is the <u>only</u> paper on Coulomb corrections for neutrino-nucleus scattering (to the best of my knowledge).

#### arXiv:nucl-th/9711045

VOLUME 57, NUMBER 4

**APRIL 1998** 

Jonathan Engel

![](_page_15_Picture_13.jpeg)

#### ENGEL & EMA / MEMA

#### PHYSICAL REVIEW C

VOLUME 57, NUMBER 4

#### Approximate treatment of lepton distortion in charged-current neutrino scattering from nuclei

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-3255 (Received 18 November 1997)

The partial-wave expansion used to treat the distortion of scattered electrons by the nuclear Coulomb field is simpler and considerably less time-consuming when applied to the production of muons and electrons by low- and intermediate-energy neutrinos. For angle-integrated cross sections, however, a modification of the "effective-momentum" approximation seems to work so well that for muons the full distorted-wave treatment is usually unnecessary, even at kinetic energies as low as 1 MeV and in nuclei as heavy as lead. The method does not work as well for electron production at low energies, but there a Fermi function often proves perfectly adequate. Scattering of electron neutrinos from muon decay on iodine and of atmospheric neutrinos on iron is discussed in light of these results. [S0556-2813(98)04804-3]

PACS number(s): 25.30.Pt, 11.80.Fv

Advocates for a effective momentum approximation. Validates against toy model with vector current.

#### arXiv:nucl-th/9711045

**APRIL 1998** 

Jonathan Engel

#### **OPTICAL POTENTIALS**

**Electron scattering on proton** 

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

Related work on optical potentials, e.g. Bodek & Cai arXiv:2004.00087

#### **ELECTRON SCATTERING**

Extensive literature in electron scattering.

Tjon & Wallace (2006) arXiv:nucl-th/0610115

Yennie Boos & Ravenhall <u>Phys. Rev. 137 (1965)</u>

> Yennie, Ravenhall, & Willson <u>Phys. Rev. 95 (1954)</u>

#### **EFFECTIVE MOMENTUM**

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

Advocates for a effective momentum approximation This is what is inside GENIE.

#### arXiv:nucl-th/9711045

#### Effective momentum near nucleus.

Re-scaled wave amplitude  $kE/k_{eff}E_{eff}$ . by 1

Effective momentum still conserved in phase space.

### EIKONAL APPROXIMATION

#### EIKONAL APPROXIMATION ---- DIRAC EQUATION

 $\mathscr{U}_{k}^{(\pm)}(x) = e^{-i\omega t} e^{ikx} e^{i\chi^{(\pm)}(x)} u_{\beta}(k)$ 

#### Solve Dirac equation with Coulomb field iteratively

 $\chi^{(\pm)} = \chi_0^{(\pm)} + \frac{1}{E}\chi_1^{(\pm)} + \frac{1}{E^2}\chi_2^{(\pm)} + \dots$ 

#### EIKONAL APPROXIMATION ---- DIRAC EQUATION

# $\chi_0^{(+)} = -\frac{1}{v} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \ V(z,b) \quad (\text{for } \hat{z} \cdot \hat{k} = 1)$

#### Solve Dirac equation with Coulomb field iteratively

# $\chi^{(\pm)} = \chi_0^{(\pm)} + \frac{1}{E}\chi_1^{(\pm)} + \frac{1}{E^2}\chi_2^{(\pm)} + \dots$

![](_page_21_Picture_5.jpeg)

TOY NUCLEAR MODEL

# $\phi(p) \sim \frac{1}{r_A^3} e^{-r_A^2 p^2}$

#### ANTI-NEUTRINO + BOUND PROTON $\rightarrow$ ANTI-LETPTON + FREE NEUTRON

# $|\bar{\nu}\rangle + |\phi\rangle \rightarrow |\ell_{\text{out}}^+\rangle + |n\rangle$

 $1\chi_0(x)$ 

![](_page_23_Picture_6.jpeg)

 $P_{0}(x)$ 

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Focussing in transverse plane

![](_page_24_Picture_5.jpeg)

 $P_{0}(x)$ 

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Focussing in transverse plane

![](_page_25_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Hierarchy $\frac{1}{E_{\nu}} \ll r_A \lesssim \frac{1}{\sigma_{\perp}} \qquad \phi(p) \sim \exp[-r_A^2 p^2]$

![](_page_26_Picture_3.jpeg)

Hierarchy  $\frac{1}{E_{\nu}} \ll r_A \lesssim \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \qquad \phi(p) \sim \exp[-r_A^2 p^2]$ 

## $d\sigma \sim d\sigma_{\rm PW} / . k_{_{7}} \rightarrow k_{_{7}}^{\rm eff} / . \delta^{(2)}(P_{\perp}) \rightarrow e^{-P_{\perp}^2/\sigma_{\perp}^2}$

#### Transverse Momentum Fluctuations

![](_page_27_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_6.jpeg)

### CONCLUSIONS

#### SUMMARY

- Analytic treatment possible using Eikonal expansion + hierarchy of scales (expansion in  $1/E_{\nu}r_A$ ).
- Only one distorted wave allows for analytic calculations (in contrast to electron scattering)
- Effective momentum approximation appears at leading order with calculable corrections.
- Coulomb field induces transverse momentum fluctuations.

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **EXTRA SLIDES**

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Spectator nucleus becomes a background field.

![](_page_32_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Spectator nucleus becomes a background field.

#### Coulomb field distorts lepton.

![](_page_33_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

Spectator nucleus becomes a background field.

Coulomb field distorts lepton.

One can also imagine adding nuclear optical potential.

![](_page_34_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

### $H = H_0 + V_{bkg} + H_{int}$

![](_page_35_Picture_4.jpeg)

r

![](_page_35_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_2.jpeg)

### $H = H_0 + V_{bkg} + H_{int}$

![](_page_36_Picture_4.jpeg)

1

![](_page_36_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

### $H = H_0 + V_{bkg} + H_{int}$

![](_page_37_Picture_4.jpeg)

1

![](_page_37_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_3.jpeg)

### $H = H_0 + V_{bkg} + H_{int}$

![](_page_38_Picture_5.jpeg)

ľ

![](_page_38_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_3.jpeg)

 $k_{\text{eff}} \neq k_{\text{out}}$ 

### $H = H_0 + V_{bkg} + H_{int}$

![](_page_39_Picture_6.jpeg)

V

![](_page_39_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_2.jpeg)

 $H_{\text{int}} = G_F \quad d^3x \ J^+_{\mu} J^-_{\nu} \eta^{\mu\nu}$ 

### $H = H_0 + V_{bkg} + H_{int}$

Ζα

#### Nucleus is "infinitely" heavy: recoilless.

Can model as static Coulomb field.

Lepton wavefunction distorted by Coulomb field.

![](_page_40_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **DISTORTED WAVE BORN APPROXIMATION**

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

pert. th.

![](_page_41_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### $S = \langle \phi^{(+)} | \psi^{(-)} \rangle \approx \langle \phi^{(+)}_{bkg} | H_{int} | \psi^{(-)}_{bkg} \rangle$ D' 6

### DISTORTED WAVE BORN APPROXIMATION --- STATIC POTENTIAL

 $H = H_0 + V_{bkg} + H_{int}$ exact pert. th.

## $H_0 + H_{\text{int}} = J_{\mu}(q) \ \bar{u}_{k'} \ \Gamma^{\mu} \ u_k \ (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(k' - k - q)$

pert. th.

 $H_{\text{bkg}} + \underbrace{H_{\text{int}}}_{k} \left[ S = J_{\mu}(q) \right] \left[ d^{3}x \ \bar{\mathscr{U}}_{k'}(x) \ \Gamma_{\mu} \ \mathscr{U}_{k}(x) \ e^{iqx} \right] (2\pi) \delta(\Sigma E)$ pert. th.

![](_page_42_Picture_5.jpeg)

### DISTORTED WAVE BORN APPROXIMATION ---- STATIC POTENTIAL

 $H = H_0 + V_{bkg} + H_{int}$ exact pert. th.

## $H_0 + H_{\text{int}} = S = J_{\mu}(q) \ \bar{u}_{k'} \ \Gamma^{\mu} \ u_k \ (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(k' - k - q)$

pert. th.

pert. th.

# $H_{\text{bkg}} + \underbrace{H_{\text{int}}}_{\text{bkg}} \quad S = J_{\mu}(q) \left[ \int d^3x \ \bar{\mathscr{U}}_{k'}(x) \ \Gamma_{\mu} \ \mathscr{U}_{k}(x) \ e^{iqx} \right] (2\pi) \delta(\Sigma E)$

![](_page_43_Picture_6.jpeg)

### DISTORTED WAVE BORN APPROXIMATION ---- STATIC POTENTIAL

 $H = H_0 + V_{bkg} + H_{int}$ exact pert. th.

## $H_0 + H_{\text{int}} = S = J_{\mu}(q) \ \bar{u}_{k'} \ \Gamma^{\mu} \ u_k \ (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(k' - k - q)$

pert. th.

 $H_{\text{bkg}} + \underbrace{H_{\text{int}}}_{\text{bkg}} \quad S = J_{\mu}(q) \left[ \int d^3x \ \bar{\mathscr{U}}_{k'}(x) \ \Gamma_{\mu} \ \mathscr{U}_{k}(x) \ e^{iqx} \right] (2\pi) \delta(\Sigma E)$ pert. th. **MOMENTUM NOT CONSERVED** 

![](_page_44_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_7.jpeg)

**BASIC DEFINITIONS** STEP 1: AMPLITUDE WITH DISTORTED WAVES

### $\langle n\ell | H_{int} | B\nu \rangle = (2\pi i)\delta(E_R + E_\nu - E_n - E_\ell) M$

 $|B\rangle = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \,\phi(p) \,|p\rangle$  $\mathbf{M} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \,\phi(p) \langle n \ell \,|\, H_{\mathrm{int}} \,|\, \nu p \rangle$ 

![](_page_45_Picture_6.jpeg)