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## The physics problem

$$
v+N \rightarrow l^{-}+N^{\prime}+\pi
$$

- Single-pion production (SPP) is an essential dynamics for accelerator-based experiments
- There many measurements sensitive to pion angular distributions $\left(\cos \theta_{\pi}\right)$

$$
v+\mathrm{N} \rightarrow l^{-}+\left(\Delta \rightarrow \mathrm{N}^{\prime}+\pi\right)
$$

- NuWro models the $\Delta$-resonance excitation $\rightarrow$ it decays according to the ANL/BNL angular fits

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \sigma_{\Delta}}{\mathrm{dQ}^{2} \mathrm{~d} W} \rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{4} \sigma_{\pi}}{\mathrm{dQ} \mathrm{Q}^{2} \mathrm{dW}} \times \frac{\mathrm{df}}{\Delta}\left(\mathrm{Q}^{2}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} \Omega_{\pi}^{*}}{}
$$

- The nonresonant background is extrapolated from the DIS formalism into the lower regions of $W, \mathrm{Q}^{2}$


FIG. 15. Distribution of events in the pion polar angle $\cos \theta$ for the final state $\mu^{-} p \pi^{+}$, with $M\left(p \pi^{+}\right)<1.4$ GeV . The curve is the area-normalized prediction of the Adler model.

Radecky et al. [ANL Collaboration], Phys.Rev. D 25 (1982) 1161

## Pion angular distributions

- Default NuWro
- Free nucleon
- Fixed kinematics:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E} & =1 \mathrm{GeV} \\
\mathrm{Q}^{2} & =0.1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \\
\mathrm{~W} & =1230 \mathrm{MeV}
\end{aligned}
$$


(total number of $10^{7}$ events over the whole phase space)

## Ghent low energy model of SPP

- The model of Ref. [R. González-Jiménez et al., Phys.Rev. D 95 (2017) 113007]
- The low-energy part based on the Valencia model


## Resonances

$P_{33}$ (1232), $P_{11}(1440), D_{13}(1520), S_{11}$ (1535)

based on [PRD 76 033005, PRD 87 113009, PRD 93 014016]

## ChPT background



- Bottleneck for the implementation is the code execution time
- Adding a nuclear model will further increase the complexity of the implementation


## Implementation

- Working in the Adler frame, generating an event requires the value of

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{4} \sigma}{\mathrm{dQ} \mathrm{Q}^{2} \mathrm{dWd} \Omega_{\pi}^{*}}=\frac{\mathcal{F}^{2}}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \frac{\mathrm{k}_{\pi}^{*}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{l}}^{2}}\left[\mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{B} \cos \left(\phi_{\pi}^{*}\right)+\mathrm{C} \cos \left(2 \phi_{\pi}^{*}\right)+\mathrm{D} \sin \left(\phi_{\pi}^{*}\right)+\mathrm{E} \sin \left(2 \phi_{\pi}^{*}\right)\right]
$$

$\rightarrow$ that is time consuming and the MC sampling has an efficiency of $10-15 \%$

- Sampling $Q^{2}, W$ from precomputed arrays allows to build the muon kinematics
- Then, $\cos \theta_{\pi}^{*}$ is given by the $A$ function that is mostly parabolic (fit using 3-7 points)
- Finally, for other variables fixed, $\phi_{\pi}^{*}$ is given by an analytical expression

$$
\frac{d^{2} \sigma}{d Q^{2} d W} \xrightarrow[\text { numerical }]{\text { fix } Q^{2}, W} \frac{d^{3} \sigma}{d Q^{2} d W d \cos \theta_{\pi}^{*}} \xrightarrow[\text { numerical / analytical }]{\text { fix } \cos \theta_{\pi}^{*}} \xrightarrow{d Q^{2} d W d \Omega_{\pi}^{*}} \xrightarrow[\text { analytical }]{d^{4} \sigma} \text { event... }
$$

## Performance

We propose:

- 4D algorithm: sampling $\left(Q^{2}, W, \cos \theta_{\pi}^{*}, \phi_{\pi}^{*}\right)$ together ( 1 cross section calculation per accepted event)
- 3D algorithm: sampling $\left(Q^{2}, W, \cos \theta_{\pi}^{*}\right)$ together $+\phi_{\pi}^{*}$ analytical
( 2 cross section calculation per accepted event)
- 2D algorithm: sampling $\left(Q^{2}, W\right)$ from tables $+\cos \theta_{\pi}^{*}$ from $k$ points or from tables $+\phi_{\pi}^{*}$ analytical
( $k+1$ cross section calculation per accepted event)
$\rightarrow v-n$ scattering requires one more code evaluation because it has two channels ( $p+\pi^{0}, n+\pi^{+}$)



## Pion angular distributions

## - Ghent LEM

- Free nucleon
- Fixed kinematics:
$\mathrm{E}=1 \mathrm{GeV}$
$\mathrm{Q}^{2}=0.1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$
$\mathrm{W}=1230 \mathrm{MeV}$

(total number of $10^{7}$ events over the whole phase space)


## Summary

- We have implemented the Ghent low energy model into NuWro
$\rightarrow$ so far, only off the nucleon
- We have investigated various methods of optimization in SPP
$\rightarrow$ different trade-offs between efficiency, precision and reliance on precomputed assets
- Our framework is based on kinematics, and therefore, model-independent
- The work is exhaustively presented in Ref. [Phys.Rev. D 103 (2021) 053003]
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# Backup slides 

## Performance

$$
\begin{array}{r}
S_{N}=N \cdot \tau \cdot(1+\alpha)+\left(\frac{N}{\epsilon}-N\right) \cdot \tau=N \cdot \tau \cdot\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}+\alpha\right) \\
N \text { - accepted events } \tau \text { - trial event cost [arb. unit] } \quad \epsilon-\text { efficiency } \alpha \text { - additional cost per accepted event [arb. unit] }
\end{array}
$$

|  | model | $\sigma\left[\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{s}_{1 \mathrm{M}}\left[\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right]$ | $\tau$ | $\epsilon$ | $\alpha$ | $S_{1 M}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | D alg. | $5.1724 \mathrm{e}-39$ | $7.8 \mathrm{e}-42$ | 8.01e-07 | 0.12 | - | 6.9 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { io } \\ \stackrel{31}{\omega} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \end{gathered}$ | D alg. | $5.1661 \mathrm{e}-39$ | $7.7 \mathrm{e}-42$ | $8.02 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 0.13 | 1.0 | 6.9 |
|  | ( $k=7$ ) | 5.1586e-39 | $7.5 \mathrm{e}-42$ | $4.04 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 0.16 | 143.9 | 6.1 |
|  | ( $\mathrm{k}=3$ ) | 5.1623e-39 | $7.5 \mathrm{e}-42$ | $4.04 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 0.16 | 72.0 | 3.2 |
|  | (table) | $5.1613 \mathrm{e}-39$ | $7.5 \mathrm{e}-42$ | $4.03 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 0.16 | 18.6 | 1.0 |

(a) $\mathrm{E}=1.0 \mathrm{GeV}$ neutrinos off proton target.

| model |  | $\sigma\left[\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{s}_{1 \mathrm{M}}\left[\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right]$ | $\tau$ | $\epsilon$ | $\alpha$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1 \mathrm{M}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | alg. | $6.8637 \mathrm{e}-39$ | $11.2 \mathrm{e}-42$ | 8.04e-07 | 0.08 | - | 9.9 |
|  | alg. | $6.8634 \mathrm{e}-39$ | 10.8e-42 | $8.01 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 0.10 | 1.0 | 8.8 |
|  | $(k=7)$ | $6.8327 \mathrm{e}-39$ | 10.5e-42 | $3.98 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 0.12 | 149.1 | 6.3 |
|  | $(k=3)$ | $6.8510 \mathrm{e}-39$ | $10.5 \mathrm{e}-42$ | $4.08 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 0.12 | 72.6 | 3.3 |
|  | (table) | $6.8450 \mathrm{e}-39$ | 10.5e-42 | $4.04 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 0.12 | 19.0 | 1.1 |


| model |  | $\sigma\left[\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{s}_{1 \mathrm{M}}\left[\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right]$ | $\tau$ | $\epsilon$ | $\alpha$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1 \mathrm{M}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | alg. | $2.5105 \mathrm{e}-39$ | 2.7e-42 | 1.83e-06 | 0.15 | - | 12.1 |
|  | alg. | $2.5095 \mathrm{e}-39$ | $2.7 \mathrm{e}-42$ | 1.83e-06 | 0.18 | 0.5 | 11.2 |
|  | ( $k=7$ ) | $2.5126 \mathrm{e}-39$ | $2.6 \mathrm{e}-42$ | $4.11 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 0.21 | 169.4 | 7.2 |
| స | ( $\mathrm{k}=3$ ) | $2.5124 \mathrm{e}-39$ | $2.6 \mathrm{e}-42$ | $4.10 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 0.21 | 85.1 | 3.7 |
| 入े | (table) | $2.5116 \mathrm{e}-39$ | $2.6 \mathrm{e}-42$ | $4.08 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 0.21 | 22.0 | 1.1 |

(b) $\mathrm{E}=1.0 \mathrm{GeV}$ neutrinos off neutron target.

| model |  | $\sigma\left[\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{s}_{1 \mathrm{M}}\left[\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right]$ | $\tau$ | $\epsilon$ | $\alpha$ | $S_{1 M}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ๗0 } \\ & \stackrel{1}{\tau} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | alg. | $4.5860 \mathrm{e}-39$ | $4.7 \mathrm{e}-42$ | 1.84e-06 | 0.14 | - | 13.5 |
|  | alg. | $4.5851 \mathrm{e}-39$ | $4.4 \mathrm{e}-42$ | $1.83 \mathrm{e}-06$ | 0.18 | 0.5 | 11.4 |
|  | ( $\mathrm{k}=7$ ) | $4.5762 \mathrm{e}-39$ | $4.2 \mathrm{e}-42$ | $4.19 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 0.20 | 169.6 | 7.3 |
|  | ( $\mathrm{k}=3$ ) | $4.5805 \mathrm{e}-39$ | $4.2 \mathrm{e}-42$ | $4.13 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 0.20 | 86.0 | 3.8 |
|  | (table) | $4.5809 \mathrm{e}-39$ | $4.2 \mathrm{e}-42$ | $4.12 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 0.20 | 22.3 | 1.1 |

(c) $\mathrm{E}=2.5 \mathrm{GeV}$ neutrinos off proton target.
(d) $\mathrm{E}=2.5 \mathrm{GeV}$ neutrinos off neutron target.
(the values of $\tau$ are normalized to obtain $\mathrm{S}_{1 \mathrm{M}}=1.0$ for the "2D alg. (table)" model)

## Pion angular distributions

## - Ghent LEM

- Free nucleon
- Fixed kinematics:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E} & =1 \mathrm{GeV} \\
\mathrm{Q}^{2} & =0.1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \\
& \vee 0.5 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Pion angular distributions

- Ghent LEM
- Free nucleon
- Fixed kinematics:
$\mathrm{E}=1 \mathrm{GeV}$
$\mathrm{Q}^{2}=0.1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$
$W=1230 \mathrm{MeV}$
$\checkmark 1270 \mathrm{MeV}$
$\vee 1310 \mathrm{MeV}$

(total number of $10^{7}$ events over the whole phase space)


## Pion angular distributions

- Ghent LEM
- Free nucleon
- Fixed kinematics:
$\mathrm{E}=1 \mathrm{GeV}$


## Pion angular distributions

## - Ghent LEM

- Free nucleon
- Fixed kinematics:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E} & =1 \mathrm{GeV} \\
\mathrm{Q}^{2} & =0.1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \\
\mathrm{~W} & =1230 \mathrm{MeV}
\end{aligned}
$$


(numerical results on a dense grid with selected kinematics)

## Pion angular distributions

- Ghent LEM
- Free proton
- ANL / BNL data

(total number of $10^{7}$ events over the whole phase space)

