NEW DIRECTIONS IN NEUTRINO-NUCLEUS SCATTERING (NDNN)

# SCATTERING OF MONO-ENERGETIC KAON DECAY-AT-REST NEUTRINOS WITH NUCLEI

A. Nikolakopoulos, J. Spitz, V. Pandey, N. Jachowicz



## KAON DECAY-AT-REST NEUTRINOS

A stopped Kaon that decays at rest in neutrino beamline absorbers yields monoenergetic  $u_{\mu}$  with E = 236 MeV



# Phase space for CC scattering of $u_{\mu}$ from KDAR

Fixed  $E_{\nu}$  allows to determine transferred energy and momentum  $\omega$  and q



Transition region between Low-energy and QE regimes

- $Q^2 \approx 0.01 0.2 \, {\rm GeV}^2$
- Significant effect of Pauli-blocking (cross-hatched region)
- Threshold effects
- QE peak (orange line) for backward muons
- Large amount of (e, e') data available

# ELECTRON SCATTERING OFF <sup>12</sup>C



#### CRPA blue band HF red lines

[PRC92, 024606,arXiv:2010.05794]

Validate models with (e, e')

- Test of nuclear model
- Direct test of the vector current
- Test of A-dependence

Combined analysis of (e, e')and KDAR data in terms of  $\omega$ and  $q \rightarrow$  clean view of axial current (separate 2p2h)

# ELECTRON SCATTERING OFF <sup>40</sup>CA



#### CRPA blue band HF red lines

[PRC92, 024606,arXiv:2010.05794]

Validate models with (e, e')

- Test of nuclear model
- Direct test of the vector current
- Test of A-dependence

Combined analysis of (e, e')and KDAR data in terms of  $\omega$ and  $q \rightarrow$  clean view of axial current (separate 2p2h)

# Measurements of KDAR $u_{\mu}$ cross section

First measurement of KDAR  $\nu_{\mu}$  cross section in MiniBooNE [PRL120, 141802]

- Extracted *T<sub>µ</sub>* shape dependent on threshold
- Large allowed region
- Hard to separate KDAR from  $\pi$ -in-flight

Possible future measurements:

- MicroBooNE, ICARUS, <sup>40</sup>Ar, broad π-in-flight background
- JSNS<sup>2</sup> at J-PARC MLF, <sup>12</sup>C low background but limited capabilities of measuring μ kinematics.



 Shape only comparison to MiniBooNE data www-boone.fnal.gov/for\_ physicists/data\_release/kdar/ I

## Measurements of KDAR $u_{\mu}$ cross section

First measurement of KDAR  $\nu_{\mu}$  cross section in MiniBooNE [PRL120, 141802]

- Shape-only comparison of different models
- Not possible to discriminate between models due to limited statistics

From inclusive standpoint: even modest resolution of  $\cos \theta_{\mu}$  ( $\leftrightarrow q$ ) can be useful JSNS<sup>2</sup> particularly sensitive to hadronic + lepton energy ( $\leftrightarrow$  missing energy)



## Measurements of KDAR $u_{\mu}$ cross section

First measurement of KDAR  $\nu_{\mu}$  cross section in MiniBooNE [PRL120, 141802]

- Shape-only comparison of different models
- Not possible to discriminate between models due to limited statistics

From inclusive standpoint: even modest resolution of  $\cos \theta_{\mu}$  ( $\leftrightarrow q$ ) can be useful JSNS<sup>2</sup> particularly sensitive to hadronic + lepton energy ( $\leftrightarrow$  missing energy)



8/12

#### IMPLICATIONS FOR OSCILLATION EXPERIMENTS

- In [arxiv:2010.05794] discussion of proposed oscillation and exotic search experiments which will rely on KDAR  $\nu_{\mu}$  and  $\nu_{e}$  from  $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$  oscillation. These require input for  $E_{\nu} = 236 \text{ MeV}$  cross sections
- On the other hand: KDAR ν<sub>μ</sub> cross section could provide a clean input on effective treatments in low Q<sup>2</sup>-regime for oscillation experiments in 100s of MeV range (e.g. MiniBooNE, T2K, ...)
- Constraining model by ν<sub>μ</sub> events in same experiment (possibly ND) allows to absorb some flux uncertainty BUT ...
  - $\rightarrow$  non-trivial overlap of different interaction mechanisms
  - $\rightarrow$  Oscillated signal not necessarily sensitive to same energy region



MiniBooNE fit [PRL 121, 221801]

#### IMPLICATIONS FOR OSCILLATION EXPERIMENTS

- Oscillated signal not necessarily sensitive to same energy region
- MiniBooNE analysis through effective  $\nu_e$  flux: sensitive to low energy region



flux from best fit in MB peaks at low energy

### CONCLUSIONS

- $\blacksquare$  236 MeV  $\nu_{\mu}$  cross sections for  $^{12}{\rm C}$  and  $^{40}{\rm Ar}$  can be measured by JSNS² and MicroBooNE
- Non-trivial kinematic region affected by Pauli-blocking, threshold effects, nuclear uncertainties, ... tabularized CRPA responses can be made for several nuclei
- Large overlap with (e, e') data for multiple nuclei (<sup>12</sup>C, <sup>40</sup>Ca, <sup>48</sup>Ca, <sup>56</sup>Fe, ...) a consistent combined analysis is sensitive to neutrino specific physics
- Relatively 'clean' kinematic region: no overlap of many mechanisms, can mostly be separated in ω and q. Could inform effective treatments of low-Q<sup>2</sup> region.

NEW DIRECTIONS IN NEUTRINO-NUCLEUS SCATTERING (NDNN)

# SCATTERING OF MONO-ENERGETIC KAON DECAY-AT-REST NEUTRINOS WITH NUCLEI

A. Nikolakopoulos, J. Spitz, V. Pandey, N. Jachowicz

