# A Search for Sterile Neutrinos at MINOS and MINOS+

Jacob Todd University of Cincinnati for the MINOS+ Collaboration



NuFact2018, Virginia Tech 17 August 2018



17 August 2018

### Outline

### ♦MINOS and MINOS+

- New: Final Three-flavor oscillations results
  - $v_{\mu}$  and  $v_{\mu}$  beam samples
    - <u>Update</u>: final year of beam data
  - Atmospheric samples
    - <u>Update</u>: final three years of atmospheric data
- New: Sterile Neutrino Search
  - Two-detector simultaneous fit
  - v<sub>µ</sub>-CC and NC disappearance
    - Full MINOS  $v_{\mu}$  beam sample
    - First two years of MINOS+

### Summary



Argonne • Athens • Brookhaven • Caltech • Cambridge • Campinas • Cincinnati • Fermilab • Goiás • Harvard • Holy Cross • Houston • IIT • Indiana • Iowa State • Lancaster • Manchester • Minnesota-Twin Cities • Minnesota-Duluth • Otterbein • Oxford • Pittsburgh • Rutherford • São Paulo • South Carolina • Stanford • Sussex • Texas A&M • Texas-Austin • Tufts • UCL • Warsaw • William & Mary

# MINOS and MINOS+

### MINOS and MINOS+

- Observed neutrino oscillations over a long-baseline using two functionally identical detectors
  - Iron-scintillator tracking calorimeters muon track containment
  - Magnetized charge determination and energy estimation
  - Numerous systematic uncertainties cancel to first order
- Detectors sample the NuMI beam on axis

#### ♦ Near Detector

- Location: Fermilab
- Mass: 1 kton
- Baseline: 1 km





#### ♦ Far Detector

- Location: Soudan Undergound Laboratory
- 5.4 kton mass
- 735 km baseline



#### 17 August 2018

### The NuMI Beam

### ♦ MINOS

- Peak Energy: ~3 GeV
- Optimized for atmospheric frequency oscillations

### ♦ MINOS+

- Peak Energy: ~7 GeV
- Constrain deviations from 3-flavor paradigm





### MINOS & MINOS+ Atmospheric Neutrinos



### **Event Topologies**



### **Event Selection**



- $\mathbf{v}_{\mu}$  charged current selection
  - Use 4 variable kNN designed to distinguish muon from pion tracks
  - Applied to events failing NC selection
  - 86% efficiency, 99% purity at the FD

- Neutral current selection
  - Selection based on topological quantities
    - Require compact events
    - No long tracks extending out of shower
  - 89% efficiency and 61% purity at FD
  - Primary background is inelastic v<sub>µ</sub>
  - 97% of v<sub>e</sub> CC pass selection

# Three-Flavor Oscillations Analysis

### Far Detector Beam Data



- MINOS and MINOS + sample muon-neutrino disappearance over a broad range of energies
- Data agrees strongly with three flavor prediction
  - Oscillations beyond three flavors are tightly constrained

### Far Detector Atmospheric Data



- Magnetic field permits separate neutrino and antineutrino samples for mass ordering discrimination
- Complements beam neutrino sample

### **Combined Fit Results**



### **Comparison with Other Experiments**



# Sterile Neutrino Search

### 3+1 Model

- Short-baseline electron-(anti)neutrino appearance results consistent with new mass state and new sterile flavor
  - No weak interaction

### Expand PMNS matrix from 3x3 to 4x4

- ♦6 new parameters
  - New mass scale (Δm<sup>2</sup><sub>41</sub>)
  - Three mixing angles ( $\theta_{14}$ ,  $\theta_{24}$ ,  $\theta_{34}$ )
  - Two CP-violating phases ( $\delta_{14}$ ,  $\delta_{24}$ )
- $\blacklozenge$  Search for two signals
  - Neutral current disappearance
    - NC events independent of 3-flavor oscillations
    - Sterile neutrinos would deplete interactions
    - Sensitive to  $\Delta m_{41}^2$ ,  $\theta_{24}$ ,  $\theta_{34}$
  - v<sub>µ</sub>-charged current disappearance
    - Sterile neutrinos cause modulations with differing frequency to 3-flavor oscillations
    - Sensitive to  $\Delta m^2_{41}$  and  $\theta_{24}$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3} & U_{e4} \\ U_{\mu 1} & U_{\mu 2} & U_{\mu 3} & U_{\mu 4} \\ U_{\tau 1} & U_{\tau 2} & U_{\tau 3} & U_{\tau 4} \\ U_{s1} & U_{s2} & U_{s3} & U_{s4} \end{pmatrix}$$



### Standard (3-flavor) Oscillations

 $\Delta m_{41}^2 = 0 \text{ eV}^2$ 

- Far Detector oscillations only
  - CC signal single pronounced oscillation maximum
  - NC signal no oscillations observed
- Near Detector observes no oscillations
  - Constrains beam
  - Cancels systematic uncertainties



## (3+1)-flavor Oscillations

 $\Delta m_{41}^2 = 0.5 \text{ eV}^2$ 

- Far Detector oscillations at two frequencies
  - CC signal modulation on 3-flavor at high energy, net deficit
  - NC signal deficit inconsistent with 3-flavor
- Near Detector observes low energy deficit



## (3+1)-flavor Oscillations

 $\Delta m_{41}^2 = 5.0 \text{ eV}^2$ 

- Far Detector oscillations at two frequencies
  - CC signal modulation on 3-flavor at high energy, net deficit
  - NC signal deficit inconsistent with 3-flavor
- Near Detector observes oscillations inconsistent with 3-flavor in both samples



### Simultaneous Two-Detector Fit

- Near and Far Detectors are fit simultaneously with coequal treatment
  - Maximal utilization of extremely high Near Detector event rate – low statistical error
  - Flux estimate derived from PPFX method which uses only hadron production experimental data
- Systematic uncertainties are encoded in covariance matrices
  - 26 sources of systematic uncertainty
  - Effects of correlated systematics are mitigated by off-diagonal cancellations
- Best fit determined by minimization of  $\chi^2$  function computed from covariance matrices
- $v_{\mu}$ -CC and NC samples fit jointly by summing the  $\chi^2$  contributions

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (o_i - e_i) [V^{-1}]_{ij} (o_j - e_j)$$



## Asimov Sensitivity



### An Improved Search Paradigm



# v<sub>µ</sub> CC Sample

- Data consistent with 3-flavor oscillations paradigm
- Evidence indicates that variations from 3-flavor prediction are attributable to statistical and systematic uncertainty



### NC Sample

- Data consistent with 3-flavor oscillations paradigm
- Evidence indicates that variations from 3-flavor prediction are attributable to statistical and systematic uncertainty



#### 17 August 2018

## (3+1)-flavor Disappearance Limit

- Upper limit from joint CC and NC sample fit using the simultaneous two-detector method
- Free Parameters:  $\Delta m^2_{41}$ ,  $\Delta m^2_{32}$ ,  $\theta_{24}$ ,  $\theta_{34}$ ,  $\theta_{23}$
- Null Parameters:  $\delta_{14}$ ,  $\delta_{24}$ ,  $\delta_{13}$ ,  $\theta_{14}$
- Fixed (3-flavor) Parameters:  $\Delta m^2_{21}$ ,  $\theta_{12}$ ,  $\theta_{13}$
- Feldman-Cousins method used to form proper 90% C.L. frequentist intervals

### Best Fit

$$\Delta m_{41}^2 = 2.33 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$$
  

$$\sin^2 \theta_{24} = 1.1 \times 10^{-4}$$
  

$$\theta_{34} = 7.0 \times 10^{-5}$$
  

$$\chi_{\min}^2/\text{dof} = 99.3/140$$
  

$$\chi_{3v}^2 - \chi_{4v}^2 < 0.01$$



# (3+1)-flavor Limit Comparison

- MINOS & MINOS + sets 90% C.L. limit over 7 orders of magnitude in  $\Delta m_{41}^2$
- Improvement over previous MINOS fit due to:
  - Utilizing Near Detector statistical power
  - Covariance matrix systematic uncertainty cancellations
  - Improved binning for atmospheric oscillations in Far Detector
- Increased tension with global best fit
- Final year of MINOS+ data yet to be analyzed
  - Represents 50% more data in MINOS+ spectrum
- View the manuscript and data release:
  - arXiv:1710.06488
  - Ancillary materials included for more detail



^S. Gariazzo, C. Giunti, M. Laveder, Y.F. Li, E.M. Zavanin, J.Phys. G43 033001 (2016)

### Summary

- Standard Oscillations: Improved measurement of atmospheric oscillation parameters using the full sample of beam and atmospheric neutrino data
  - Results competitive with running experiments
  - Measured  $\Delta m_{32}^2$  to 3.5% precision
- Using simultaneous two-detector fit, MINOS+ places strong constraints on (3+1)flavor sterile neutrino mixing
  - Tension with the critical global best fit region
- Over 11 years of running MINOS & MINOS+ have mapped neutrino oscillations across a broad energy spectrum
  - Strong evidence for 3-flavor oscillations paradigm
  - Sharpening constraints to guide future sterile neutrino searches



### Thank You!

The MINOS+ Collaboration would like to express our sincere thanks to the many Fermilab groups who provided technical expertise and support in the design, construction, installation and operation of the experiment.

We wish to thank the crew at the Soudan Underground Laboratory for their efforts in maintaining and running the Far Detector.

We also gratefully acknowledge financial support from DOE, STFC(UK), NSF and thank the University of Minnesota and Minnesota DNR for hosting us.















17 August 2018

### Comparison to MiniBooNE + LSND Best Fit: CC Selected Events



New MiniBooNE paper – arXiv:1805.12028 Best fit:  $\Delta m^2 = 0.041 \text{ eV}^2$  and  $\sin^2 2\theta_{\mu e} = 0.958$  $\sin^2_{\mu e} = 4|U_{e4}|^2|U_{\mu 4}|^2 = \sin^2 2\theta_{14} \sin^2 \theta_{24}$ 

Take  $\sin^2 2\theta_{14} = 1$  to minimize  $v_{11}$  disappearance

### Comparison to MiniBooNE + LSND Best Fit: CC Selected Events



ND

17 August 2018

### Comparison to MiniBooNE + LSND Best Fit: NC Selected Events



New MiniBooNE paper – arXiv:1805.12028 Best fit:  $\Delta m^2 = 0.041 \text{ eV}^2$  and  $\sin^2 2\theta_{\mu e} = 0.958$  $\sin^2_{\mu e} = 4|U_{e4}|^2|U_{\mu 4}|^2 = \sin^2 2\theta_{14} \sin^2 \theta_{24}$ 

Take  $\sin^2 2\theta_{14} = 1$  to minimize  $v_{\mu}$  disappearance

### Comparison to MiniBooNE + LSND Best Fit: NC Selected Events



FD

ND

17 August 2018

### Comparison to MiniBooNE: MINOS/Daya Bay/Bugey Combination



- •MINOS and MINOS+ are in significant tension with the new MiniBooNE result, even assuming a conservative  $sin^2 2\theta_{14} = 1$
- •Using  $\theta_{14}$  from Daya Bay and Bugey combined with the previous MINOS result leads to an even larger tension, which will only increase if a future combination with Daya Bay is performed

### Shape/Normalization Factorization



"Counting Experiment"

### Median vs. Asimov Sensitivity



17 August 2018

### Consistency with Three Flavor Oscillations



### **Detector and Sample Contributions**



#### 17 August 2018

## (3+1)-Flavor Oscillations



## (3+1)-Flavor Oscillations



### Sterile Systematics: CC Hadron Production



### Sterile Systematics: NC Hadron Production



### Sterile Systematics: CC Cross Sections



### Sterile Systematics: NC Cross Sections



### Sterile Systematics: CC Energy Scale



### Sterile Systematics: NC Energy Scale



### Sterile Systematics: CC Beam Optics



### Sterile Systematics: NC Beam Optics



### Sterile Systematics: Acceptance



## (3+1)-Flavor Degeneracies

$$P(\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{\mu}) = 1 - 4 |U_{\mu3}|^{2} (1 - |U_{\mu3}|^{2} - |U_{\mu4}|^{2}) \sin^{2} \Delta_{31}$$
$$- 4 |U_{\mu4}|^{2} |U_{\mu3}|^{2} \sin^{2} \Delta_{43} - 4 |U_{\mu4}|^{2} (1 - |U_{\mu3}|^{2} - |U_{\mu4}|^{2}) \sin^{2} \Delta_{41}$$
$$\text{where} \quad \Delta_{ij} = \frac{\Delta m_{ij}^{2} L}{4E}$$

• 
$$\Delta m_{41}^2 \approx \Delta m_{31}^2$$
  
•  $\Delta m_{41}^2 \approx 2\Delta m_{31}^2$   
•  $\Delta m_{41}^2 \ll \Delta m_{31}^2$ 

Certain combinations of  $\theta_{23}$ ,  $\theta_{24}$ , and  $\theta_{34}$  can produce 4-flavor solutions nearly indistinguishable from 3-flavor.

Run each fit five times  $\rightarrow$  each  $\theta_{23}$ octant and mass hierarchy choice and the degenerate region.



scenarios

- Two techniques used to identify atmospheric neutrinos in the Far Detector.
  - 1) Contained-vertex events:
    - Apply series of containment requirements on reconstructed tracks and showers to reduce cosmic-ray backgrounds.
    - Far Detector is equipped with a scintillator veto shield, which tags cosmic-ray muons with 96% efficiency.
  - 2) Upward and horizontal muons:
  - Far Detector has a timing resolution of 2.5ns.
  - Can identify neutrino-induced upward and horizontal muons using timing information.
  - Soudan mine has a uniform rock overburden, enabling events to be identified above the horizon ( $\cos\theta_{zen} < 0.05$ ).



Selected atmospheric neutrinos are categorised based on event topology:

Event Classification	Data	No oscillations	Best fit
Contained-vertex showers	1123	1248	1134
Contained-vertex muons	1399	1923	1379
Non-fiducial muons	736	924	737
Total events	3258	4095	3250



17 August 2018

- Timing information is used to select "high resolution" sample of events with well-measured muon propagation direction.
  - 950 contained-vertex muons and all 736 non-fiducial muons pass this selection.
  - Can reconstruct zenith angle and L/E for these events.
- Plots on right show zenith angle and L/E distributions of selected high-resolution events.
- Clear oscillation signature!



Neutrinos and antineutrinos are separated based on muon charge sign, which is reconstructed using curvature of final-state muon tracks.

	Selected $\nu_{\mu}$	Selected anti- $\nu_{\mu}$	Total
Contained-vertex muons	574	255	829
Non-fiducial muons	239	143	382
Total	813	398	1211



- In the MINOS+ oscillation analysis, atmospheric neutrino data are binned as a function of reconstructed energy and zenith angle.
  - Sensitivity to  $\Delta m_{32}^2$  and  $\sin^2\theta_{23}$  is complementary with accelerator data.
  - Additional limited sensitivity to mass hierarchy in MSW resonance region.

![](_page_53_Figure_4.jpeg)

Results of oscillation fit to MINOS/MINOS+ atmospheric neutrino data:

![](_page_54_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

Hadron Production MINOS+ Flugg08 Pi+

$$\frac{d^2N}{dx_F dp_T} = [B(x_F)p_T + C(x_F)p_T^2]e^{-D(x_F)p_T^{E(x_F)}}$$

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Standard analysis uses ND data to produce extrapolated FD predictions
- Improving the beam flux estimate makes this technique more powerful
- Parameterize hadron production for pions and translate to kaons using measured pion/kaon ratios
- Warp parameterization to fit ND data with no focusing to isolate hadron production only

![](_page_59_Figure_5.jpeg)

Neutrinos – Horn-off MINOS+ Prelim

- ND data provides a powerful constraint on beam flux
- Use samples with focusing horns off to isolate hadron production
- Fit empirical pion hadron production parameters for neutrinos and antineutrinos
- Transfer weights to kaons using measured pion/kaon ratios

![](_page_60_Figure_5.jpeg)

### Beam Flux Estimation: Focusing

Apply hadron production weights to sample with focusing onFit for focusing effects

![](_page_61_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Beam Flux Estimation: Focusing

Apply hadron production weights to sample with focusing onFit for focusing effects

![](_page_62_Figure_2.jpeg)