



Status of NA61/SHINE Measurements for Neutrino Experiments

Athula Wickremasinghe, University of Pittsburgh On behalf of the NA61/SHINE Collaboration



NuFact 2018 Virginia Tech, Blacksburg Aug 16, 2018

Outline

Importance of the hadron production data

NA61/SHINE experiment Measurements for T2K targets Data taken for Fermilab targets Hardware upgrades in 2017 & Data NA61/SHINE Plans beyond 2020

Neutrinos from meson decays



Decay of charged mesons produce neutrinos

- Have to model the hadron production to understand the neutrino flux
- Need to understand all uncertainties and particle generations in the MC model predictions



Why we need hadron production data?

To answer following important questions:

- How do you predict neutrino beam fluxes?
 - + Using combined simulators: FLUKA, GEANT4 ... etc
 - + Using Data-driven model prediction
 - + Using near detector measurements .. Maybe not sufficient
- Is it possible to reduce the flux systematics dominated by hadron productions?
 + Yes of courseimprove models with data, use more production data to cover full space, Use replica target data
- How do you validate thin to thick target extrapolation?
 + Use replica target hadron production measurements ...

!! Good to have hadron production data !!

Next

Importance of the hadron production data

NA61/SHINE experiment

Measurements for T2K targets Data taken for Fermilab targets Hardware upgrades in 2017 & Data NA61/SHINE Plans beyond 2020

NA61/SHINE Experiment: Beam

NA61/SHINE (SPS Heavy Ion and Neutrino Experiment) is a fixed target, large acceptance hadron production measurement experiment at the Super Proton Synchrotron (SPS) at CERN



- 400 GeV/c SPS primary proton beam collides with T2 (Be) target
- The secondary beam for NA61/SHINE can be selected from 13 GeV/c to 350 GeV/c
- Heavy ion beams are generated through LINAC3 for ion-ion collision measurements

NA61/SHINE Experiment: Detector



- Beam profile is obtained by using CEDAR+THC and BPD information
- TPC system: Two vertex TPCs (VTPC1 & VTPC2) including 2 superconducting dipole magnets with the bending power of 9Tm + Gap-TPC + 2 Main-TPCs (MTPC-L & MTPC-R)
- TOF system: Left, right and forward Time-of-Flight detector arrays
 08/14/2018 Athula Wickremasinghe / UPitt

NA61/SHINE 3D Visualization

NA61/SHINE 3D Virtual reality: http://shine3d.web.cern.ch/shine3d/



NA61 Particle Identification



Next

Importance of the hadron production data NA61/SHINE experiment Measurements for T2K targets Data taken for Fermilab targets Hardware upgrades in 2017 & Data NA61/SHINE Plans beyond 2020

Measurements for T2K

Data has been taken from 2007 to 2010 for T2K thin and replica targets

Thin Target





$X \times Y \times Z = 2.5 \text{ cm} \times 2.5 \text{ cm} \times 2 \text{ cm}$

r = 1.3 cm and L = 90 cm

Measurements for T2K

Data has been taken from 2007 to 2010 for T2K thin and replica targets

Interaction	Target length	Production Measurements	Results / Publications
31GeV/c p+C	2 cm	π⁺, π ⁻	• Phys. Rev. C84 (2011) 034604
		K+	• Phys. Rev. C85 (2012) 035210
		K _s °, Λ	• Phys. Rev. C89 (2014) 025205
		$\pi^+, \pi^-, K^+, K^-, K^{o}_{S}, p, \Lambda$	• Eur.Phys.J. C76 (2016) 84
31GeV/c p+C	90 cm replica target	π^+	• Nucl.Instrum.Meth. A701 (2013) 99-114
		π⁺, π ⁻	• Eur.Phys.J. C76 (2016) no.11,617
		π ⁺ , π ⁻ , K ⁺ , K ⁻	 Paper in preparation https://edms.cern.ch/ui/file/1828 979/1/Results.pdf

Overview of Latest T2K Thin Target Results

Eur. Phys. J. C (2016) 76:84 DOI 10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-3898-y THE EUROPEAN PHYSICAL JOURNAL C



Regular Article - Experimental Physics

Measurements of π^{\pm} , K^{\pm} , K^{0}_{S} , Λ and proton production in proton–carbon interactions at 31 GeV/*c* with the NA61/SHINE spectrometer at the CERN SPS

Abstract Measurements of hadron production in p + C interactions at 31 GeV/c are performed using the NA61/SHINE spectrometer at the CERN SPS. The analysis is based on the full set of data collected in 2009 using a graphite target with a thickness of 4 % of a nuclear interaction length. Inelastic and production cross sections as well as spectra of π^{\pm} , K^{\pm} , p, K_S^0 and Λ are measured with high precision. These measurements are essential for improved calculations of the initial neutrino fluxes in the T2K long-baseline neutrino oscillation experiment in Japan. A comparison of the NA61/SHINE measurements with predictions of several hadroproduction models is presented.

Overview of Latest T2K Thin Target Results

Hadrons Production data coverage in (p, θ) kinematical space to predict neutrino flux at Super-Kamiokande with "positive" focusing (neutrino mode)



14

Latest T2K Thin Target Results : π^+ Production



The paper has described the precise measurements of π^+ , π^- , K⁺, K⁻, K^o_s, p and Λ production cross sections

Overview of T2K Replica Target Results

Eur. Phys. J. C (2016) 76:617 DOI 10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4440-y The European Physical Journal C



Regular Article - Experimental Physics

Measurements of π^{\pm} differential yields from the surface of the T2K replica target for incoming 31 GeV/*c* protons with the NA61/SHINE spectrometer at the CERN SPS

Abstract Measurements of particle emission from a replica of the T2K 90 cm-long carbon target were performed in the NA61/SHINE experiment at CERN SPS, using data collected during a high-statistics run in 2009. An efficient use of the long-target measurements for neutrino flux predictions in T2K requires dedicated reconstruction and analysis techniques. Fully-corrected differential yields of π^{\pm} -mesons from the surface of the T2K replica target for incoming 31 GeV/*c* protons are presented. A possible strategy to implement these results into the T2K neutrino beam predictions is discussed and the propagation of the uncertainties of these results to the final neutrino flux is performed.

T2K Replica Target Results: π^+ Production





T2K Replica Target 2010 data Preliminary Results



T2K Flux Uncertainty Updates

SK: Neutrino Mode, v_{μ}







- The T2K flux uncertainty is reduced from 12% to ~9% due to the thin target measurement updates
- The uncertainty will be reduced down to ~ 5% after implementing the replica target measurements

Next

Importance of the hadron production data NA61/SHINE experiment Measurements for T2K targets Data taken for Fermilab targets Hardware upgrades in 2017 & Data NA61/SHINE Plans beyond 2020

Data Taken for Fermilab Experiments 2012 - 2016

Interaction	Year	Triggers	Magnet Config	
p+C @ 120 GeV/c	2012	2.8M	B-field VTX1 Off	
π^+ +C @ 31 GeV/c	2015	745k	B-field OFF	
π^+ +Al @ 31 GeV/c	2015	565k	B-field OFF	Analyzed and
p+C @ 31 GeV/c	2015	388k	B-field OFF	the paper has
π ⁺ +C @ 60 GeV/c	2015	577k	B-field OFF	been accepted
π^+ +Al @ 60 GeV/c	2015	535k	B-field OFF	by Phys Rev D
K ⁺ +C @ 60 GeV/c	2015	506k	B-field OFF	
K+ +Al @ 60 GeV/c	2015	339k	B-field OFF	J
p+C @ 60 GeV/c	2016	2.9M	B-field ON	
p+Al @ 60 GeV/c	2016	3.2M	B-field ON	
π ⁺ +C @ 60 GeV/c	2016	4.2M	B-field ON	
p +C @ 120 GeV/c	2016	4.3M	B-field ON	Analysis is in progress
π^+ +Be @ 60 GeV/c	2016	2.6M	B-field ON	
p +Be @ 120 GeV/c	2016	2.2M	B-field ON	
p +Be @ 60 GeV/c	2016	2.1M	B-field ON	
08/14/2018	Ath	ula Wickremasinghe / U	Pitt	21

Recent Production Cross Sections Results

Measurements of total production cross sections for π^++C , π^++Al , K^++C , and K^++Al at 60 GeV/c and π^++C and π^++Al at 31 GeV/c

The data taken in 2015 on C and Al targets without magnetic fields have been analyzed to measure the total production cross sections and inelastic cross sections



Preprint : https://arxiv.org/pdf/1805.04546.pdf The paper has been accepted by Phys.Rev. D

Next

Importance of the hadron production data NA61/SHINE experiment Measurements for T2K targets Data taken for Fermilab targets Hardware upgrades in 2017 & Data NA61/SHINE Plans beyond 2020

Hardware Upgrades in 2017

- Installed 3 new Forward-TPCs to improve the forward acceptance
- Reinstalled the TOF-Forward detector system with DSR4 readout upgrades in 2017
- 32 scintillator bars with 64 PMTs







FTPC 1

FTPC 2/3

There is a future plan to upgrade all detector readouts with DRS4

University of Geneva DRS4 board: 32 channels & 5GHz sampling rate

A FTOF module (out of 4 modules) with 8 scintillator bars before the installation

Athula Wickremasinghe / UPitt

Current NA61/SHINE Detector



NA61 neutrino program data in 2017

Interaction	Year	Triggers	Config: B-field ON
π^+ +C @ 31 GeV/c	2017	2.2M	No F-TOF & no FTPCs
π^+ +Al @ 60 GeV/c	2017	2.3M	No F-TOF & no FTPCs
π^{-} + C @ 60 GeV/c	2017	3.1M	With F-TOF & FTPCs
p+C @ 120 GeV/c	2017	2.5M	With F-TOF & FTPCs
p+Be @ 120 GeV/c	2017	2.6M	With F-TOF & FTPCs
p+C @ 90 GeV/c	2017	2.6M	With F-TOF & FTPCs

 Analysis priority is given for: π⁺ + C @ 60 GeV/c (2016) and p + C @ 120 GeV/c (2016-2017)

Current Analysis Plan

• Analysis of hadron production yields for $\pi^+ + C @ 60 \text{ GeV/c}$ and $\pi^+ + Be @ 60 \text{ GeV/c}$ $m_{\text{Inv Lam}}, p_{\text{trail}}:[6.0,10.0]\text{GeV/c} @:[0.00,0.02] mrad}$

$$\pi^{\pm}$$
, K^{\pm} , K^0_S , Λ and proton



Analysis of off axis K⁰_s production yields for p + C @ 120 GeV/c

$$K_S^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$$

Fermilab Targets for 2018 runs

 NOvA replica target data taking is on going in this summer (July – August): p+C @ 120 GeV/c

Target length = 120 cm

• Possible thin target runs: K+C @ 60 GeV/c

NOvA (NuMI) replica target



Next

Importance of the hadron production data NA61/SHINE experiment Measurements for T2K targets Data taken for Fermilab targets Hardware upgrades in 2017 & Data NA61/SHINE Plans beyond 2020

NA61 neutrino program beyond 2020

* Long Shutdown (LS2) from 2019 – 2020

Hardware / Software Upgrade Plans:

- New TPC read-out electronics used in ALICE
- New DAQ system capable of 1kHz readout
- New ToF walls with multi-gap Resistive Plate Chamber (mRPC) technology
- New BPD system based on scintillating fibers

Run Plan for 2021 – 2025:

- DUNE thin target measurements
- DUNE (LBNF) and Hyper-K/T2K II replica targets
- Thin target running on Titanium
- More kaon interaction data runs 08/14/2018 Athula Wickremasinghe / UPitt

Summary

- NA61 has provided precise hadron production measurements for the T2K experiment to improve the flux predictions and systematics
- NA61 detector system has been upgraded with FTPCs and FTOF systems in 2017 to cover the large kinematical space by improving the forward acceptance
- Results from 2015 data have been submitted to Phys Rev D and the analysis of 2016 2017 data is ongoing
- NA61 is recording NOvA replica target data in this summer
- *Planing 2020+ detector upgrades to improve the trigger and detector systems*
- Preparing to record DUNE and Hyper-K targets data after 2020

N. Abgrall²⁴, A. Aduszkiewicz¹⁶, E.V. Andronov²², T. Antićić³, B. Baatar²⁰, M. Baszczyk¹⁴, S. Bhosale¹¹, A. Blondel²⁴, M. Bogomilov², A. Brandin²¹, A. Bravar²⁴, W. Bryliński¹⁸, J. Brzychczyk¹³, S.A. Bunyatov²⁰, O. Busygina¹⁹, A. Bzdak¹⁴, H. Cherif⁷, M. Ćirković²³, T. Czopowicz¹⁸, A. Damyanova²⁴, N. Davis¹¹, M. Deveaux 7, W. Dominik 16, P. Dorosz 14, J. Dumarchez 4, R. Engel 5, G.A. Feofilov 22, L. Fields 25, Z. Fodor^{8,17}, A. Garibov¹, M. Gaździcki^{7,10}, M. Golubeva¹⁹, K. Grebieszkow¹⁸, F. Guber¹⁹, A. Haesler²⁴, T. Hasegawa²⁹, A.E. Hervé⁵, S.N. Igolkin²², S. Ilieva², A. Ivashkin¹⁹, S.R. Johnson²⁶, K. Kadija³, E. Kaptur¹⁵, M. Kiełbowicz¹¹, V.A. Kireyeu²⁰, V. Klochkov⁷, T. Kobayashi²⁹, V.I. Kolesnikov²⁰, D. Kolev², A. Korzenev²⁴, V.N. Kovalenko²², K. Kowalik¹², S. Kowalski¹⁵, M. Koziel⁷, A. Krasnoperov²⁰, W. Kucewicz¹⁴, M. Kuich¹⁶, A. Kurepin¹⁹, D. Larsen¹³, A. László⁸, T.V. Lazareva²², M. Lewicki¹⁷, K. Łojek 13, B. Łysakowski 15, V.V. Lyubushkin 20, M. Maćkowiak-Pawłowska 18, Z. Majka 13, B. Maksiak 18, A.I. Malakhov²⁰, D. Manić²³, A. Marchionni²⁵, A. Marcinek¹¹, A.D. Marino²⁶, K. Marton⁸, H.-J. Mathes⁵, T. Matulewicz¹⁶, V. Matveev²⁰, G.L. Melkumov²⁰, A.O. Merzlaya¹³, B. Messerly²⁷, Ł. Mik¹⁴, G.B. Mills²⁸, S. Morozov^{19,21}, S. Mrówczyński¹⁰, Y. Nagai²⁶, T. Nakadaira²⁹, M. Naskret¹⁷, K. Nishikawa²⁹, V. Ozvenchuk¹¹, V. Paolone²⁷, M. Pavin^{4,3}, O. Petukhov^{19,21}, R. Płaneta¹³, P. Podlaski¹⁶, B.A. Popov^{20,4}, M. Posiadała¹⁶, S. Puławski¹⁵, J. Puzović²³, W. Rauch⁶, M. Ravonel²⁴, R. Renfordt⁷, E. Richter-Was¹³, D. Röhrich⁹, E. Rondio¹², M. Roth⁵, B.T. Rumberger²⁶, A. Rustamov^{1,7}, M. Rybczynski¹⁰, A. Rybicki¹¹, A. Sadovsky¹⁹, K. Sakashita²⁹, K. Schmidt¹⁵, T. Sekiguchi²⁹, I. Selyuzhenkov²¹, A.Yu. Seryakov²², P. Seyboth¹⁰, M. Shibata²⁹, M. Słodkowski¹⁸, A. Snoch⁷, P. Staszel¹³, G. Stefanek¹⁰, J. Stepaniak¹², M. Strikhanov²¹, H. Ströbele⁷, T. Šuša³, M. Tada²⁹, A. Taranenko²¹, A. Tefelska¹⁸, D. Tefelski¹⁸, V. Tereshchenko²⁰, A. Toia⁷, R. Tsenov², L. Turko¹⁷, R. Ulrich⁵, M. Unger⁵, F.F. Valiev²², D. Veberič⁵, V.V. Vechernin²², M. Walewski¹⁶, A. Wickremasinghe²⁷, Z. Włodarczyk¹⁰, A. Wojtaszek-Szwarc¹⁰, O. Wyszyński¹³, L. Zambelli⁴, E.D. Zimmerman²⁶, R. Zwaska²⁵, L. Berns³⁰, A. Fiorentini³¹, M. Friend²⁹, M. Hartz^{32,33}, A. Ichikawa³⁴, A. Missert²⁶, M. Tsanov³⁵, T. Vladisavljevic^{36,32}, M. Yu³¹

Thank You

Institutes

¹ National Nuclear Research Center, Baku, Azerbaijan ² Faculty of Physics, University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria ³ Ruđer Bošković Institute, Zagreb, Croatia ⁴ LPNHE, University of Paris VI and VII, Paris, France ⁵ Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany ⁶ Fachhochschule Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany ⁷ University of Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany ⁸ Wigner Research Centre for Physics of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary 9 University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway 10 Jan Kochanowski University in Kielce, Poland ¹¹ H. Niewodniczański Institute of Nuclear Physics of the Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków, Poland ¹² National Centre for Nuclear Research, Warsaw, Poland 13 Jagiellonian University, Cracow, Poland 14 AGH - University of Science and Technology, Cracow, Poland ¹⁵ University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland ¹⁶ University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland 17 University of Wrocław, Wrocław, Poland ¹⁸ Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland ¹⁹ Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia ²⁰ Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia ²¹ National Research Nuclear University (Moscow Engineering Physics Institute), Moscow, Russia 22 St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia ²³ University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia 24 University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland ²⁵ Fermilab, Batavia, USA ²⁶ University of Colorado, Boulder, USA ²⁷ University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, USA 28 Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, USA 29 Institute for Particle and Nuclear Studies, Tsukuba, Japan ³⁰ Tokyo Institute of Technology, Department of Physics, Tokyo, Japan ³¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, York University, Toronto, ON, Canada ³² Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI), The University of Tokyo Institutes for Advanced Study, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Japan 33 TRIUMF, Vancouver, BC, Canada 34 Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan ³⁵ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, USA ³⁶ Oxford University, Department of Physics, Oxford, United Kingdom

Backup Slides

Few Examples of Neutrino Beamlines



BNB at Fermilab: use data-driven flux models with including HARP measured p (8.9 GeV/c)+Be data

Credit: NOvA, MINOS, FNAL

NuMI beam at Fermilab: Use GEANT4, FLUKA models, and NA49, MIPP data .. etc to model the flux



Credit: DUNE



LBNF beam at Fermilab: The primary proton beam will be 60-120 GeV on a Be or C target. Planing to have NA61 data and hopefully some models to predict the flux



Credit: T2K

NuMI and LBNF flux predictions



TPC Parameters

	VTPC-1	VTPC-2	MTPC-L/R	GAP-TPC
size (L×W×H) [cm]	$250\times 200\times 98$	$250\times 200\times 98$	$390\times 390\times 180$	$30\times 81.5\times 70$
No. of pads/TPC	26 886	27 648	63 360	672
Pad size [mm]	3.5 × 28(16)	3.5 imes 28	3.6 imes 40, 5.5 imes 40	4 imes 28
Drift length [cm]	66.60	66.60	111.74	58.97
Drift velocity [cm/µs]	1.4	1.4	2.3	1.3
Drift field [V/cm]	195	195	170	173
Drift voltage [kV]	13	13	19	10.2
gas mixture	Ar/CO ₂ (90/10)	Ar/CO ₂ (90/10)	Ar/CO ₂ (95/5)	Ar/CO ₂ (90/10)
# of sectors	2×3	2×3	5×5	1
# of padrows	72	72	90	7
# of pads/padrow	192	192	192, 128	96