# The MUon proton Scattering Experiment (MUSE) at the Paul Scherrer Institute

- 1. Motivation for a muon scattering experiment
- 2. Components of the MUSE experiment
- 3. Projected results

#### Steffen Strauch for the MUSE Collaboration University of South Carolina

Supported in parts by the U.S. National Science Foundation: NSF PHY-1505615.

NuFact2018, 20th workshop on neutrinos from accelerators Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, August 13–18, 2018

# Slope of proton form factor reveals radius

Cross section for ep scattering (one photon exchange)



reduced cross section

#### Definition of proton charge radius

$$\langle r_p^2 \rangle = -6\hbar^2 \frac{d\mathbf{G}_E(Q^2)}{dQ^2} \Big|_{Q^2=0}$$

(r<sub>p</sub> is not related to integral over proton charge density) [G. Miller]

Determine  $r_p$  from the slope of  $G_E(Q^2)$  at  $Q^2 \rightarrow 0$ . Higher order terms come in early.



J. Bernauer et al., PRL 105, 242001 (2010), J. Bernauer et al., PRC 93, 065207 (2016).

 $r_{p} = 0.879(8) \text{ fm (MAMI)}$ 

## Spectroscopy of muonic hydrogen

 $\mu$  beam stopped in H<sub>2</sub> gas



#### Determine $r_p$ from spectroscopic data and QED calculations

R. Pohl et al., Nature 466, 213 (2010), A. Antognini et al., Science 339, 417 (2013); Fig. adapted from Pohl, Miller, Gilman, Pachucki, arXiv:1301.0950v1 3

# The proton radius puzzle: Muonic and electronic measurements give different proton radii



The discrepancy between muonic and previous electronic measurements of the proton charge radius is a 5.6 $\sigma$  effect (?).

New atomic hydrogen spectroscopy data inconclusive.

I. Sick, PLB 576, 62 (2003); P.J. Mohr et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 633 (2008); J.C Bernauer et al., PRL 105, 242001 (2010); R. Pohl et al., Nature 466, 213 (2010); X. Zhan et al., PLB 705, 59 (2011); P.J. Mohr et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 84, 1527 (2012); A. Antognini et al., Science 339, 417 (2013; A. Beyer, et al, Science 358 (2017) 79-85; H. Fleurbaey, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 183001.

# "This discrepancy has triggered a lively discussion..." Aldo Antognini et al., Science 339, 417 (2013)

### Possible explanations of the proton-radius puzzle

- Beyond Standard Model Physics: Violation of  $\mu$  – e universality
- Novel Hadronic Physics:

Strong-interaction effect entering in a loop diagram is important for  $\mu p$  but not for ep; e.g. proton <u>polarizability</u> (effect  $\propto m_1^4$ ), <u>off-shell</u> corrections, <u>two-photon</u> protonstructure corrections.

• Electron scattering & atomic hydrogen data and radius extraction not as accurate as previously reported.

#### New experiments are planned or underway to address the issue

R. Pohl, R. Gilman, G.A. Miller, K. Pachucki, "Muonic hydrogen and the proton radius puzzle", arXiv:1301.0905 (2013).
G.A. Miller, Phys. Lett. B 718, 1078 (2013), G.A. Miller, A.W. Thomas, J.D. Carroll, J. Rafelski Phys. Rev. A 84, 020101 (2011).
C.E. Carlson, M. Vanderhaeghen, Phys. Rev. A 84, 020102 (2011).

# Important data to address the proton radius puzzle are missing ...

 Conflicting new atomic hydrogen spectroscopy data

Beyer et al., Science **358**, 79 (2017), Fleurbaey et al., PRL **120**, 183001 (2018)

- Conflicting results from various fits of electron scattering data
- Recent analysis of spectroscopy data gives 3.5σ <u>difference</u> between atomic and muonic D

Pohl et al. arXiv:1607.03165v2 [atom-ph] Metrologia 54

| r <sub>P</sub> (fm) | ер       | μp        |
|---------------------|----------|-----------|
| spectroscopy        | 0.876(8) | 0.8409(4) |
| scattering          | 0.877(6) | ?         |

Ref.: CODATA2010 for H and D spectroscopy, Antognini et al. (2013) for muonic atom, average of Bernauer et al. (2010) and Zahn et al. (2011) for electron scattering.

- New and ongoing scattering experiments
  - Proton radius (PRad) experiment at JLab Hall B
  - Initial State Radiation (ISR) experiment at MAMI

MUon Scattering
 Experiment (MUSE) at PSI

MUSE Technical Design Report, arXiv:1709.09753 [physics.ins-det].

# MUon Scattering Experiment (MUSE) at PSI



Direct test of  $\mu p$  and ep interactions in a scattering experiment:

- higher precision than previously,
- low Q<sup>2</sup> region for sensitivity to the proton charge radius, Q<sup>2</sup> = 0.002 to 0.07 GeV<sup>2</sup>,
- with  $\mu^+,\mu^-$  and  $e^+,e^-$  to study possible  $2\gamma$  mechanisms,
- with  $\mu p$  and ep to have direct  $\mu/e$  comparison

#### MUSE

$$e^{-}p \rightarrow e^{-}p$$
$$e^{+}p \rightarrow e^{+}p$$
$$\mu^{-}p \rightarrow \mu^{-}p$$
$$\mu^{+}p \rightarrow \mu^{+}p$$

# MUSE is an unusual scattering experiment

Measure  $e^{\pm}$  and  $\mu^{\pm}$  elastic scattering off a liquid hydrogen target.

#### Challenges

- Secondary beam: identifying and tracking beam particles to target,
- Low beam flux: large angle, non-magnetic spectrometer,
- Background: e.g., Møller scattering and muon decay in flight.



The MUon Scattering Experiment at PSI (MUSE), MUSE Technical Design Report, arXiv:1709.09753 [physics.ins-det].

# Beam Hodoscope (TAU, Rutgers, PSI)

The beam hodoscope counts the total incident beam **flux** and provides precise **timing** and **position** information for beam particles:

- RF time to hodoscope: beam-particle ID;
- Hodoscope to beam monitor: confirmation of beam-particle ID, background identification, muon and pion beam momenta;
- Hodoscope to scattered-particle scintillator: reaction type.





- Two to four planes for beam hodoscope
- Achieved 80 ps time resolution and 99.8% efficiency.

# Beam-particle identification with beam hodoscope



PSI  $\pi$ M1 beam line

Beam hodoscope determines time of flight for particle ID 50 MHz RF (20 ns bunch separation)

 $Flux \approx 3.3 MHz$ 

e,  $\mu$ ,  $\pi$  beams with large emittance

p = 119, 165, 210 MeV/c



Positive polarity particle fractions determined in June 2013 beam test (K. Mesick)

# Consistent beam momenta were extracted from muon and pion time-of-flight data



Good agreement between simulation and data, no evidence of beam tail from collimation.

 $p(\pi) \approx p(\mu)$ , with dp / p < 0.3%

Preliminary results meet specifications.



015 test measurement

N

11

## Beam Hodoscope mounted at PSI



# GEM Detectors as incident-particle tracker (Hampton Univ.)



- Set of three 10 cm x 10 cm GEM detectors built for & run in OLYMPUS
- Measure trajectories into the target to reconstruct the scattering kinematics
- $\bullet$  Achieved position resolution of 70  $\mu m$

## Veto detector (USC)

The veto detector expected to reduce trigger rate from background events

(Simulation of veto prototype with slightly different geometry.)







# Target and Scattering Chamber (U. of Mich.)

Target ladder with LH<sub>2</sub>, dummy, carbon, and empty targets



target cell prototype



# Beam Monitor (TAU, Rutgers, USC)



- Determination of particle flux downstream of the target.
- Monitor beam **stability**.
- RF-time independent determination of **particle type**.
- Determination of muon and pion **momenta**.
- Veto for Møller / Bhabha scattering background.



## **Beam Monitor**

Carriages on rails allow for precise variation of beammonitor position

100-cm travel

High-resolution scintillators moved into the beam for timeof-flight measurements



# Straw-tube tracker (HUJI + Temple)



- The Straw Tube Tracker provides highresolution and high-efficiency tracking of the scattered particles from the target.
- Two chambers with 5 vertical and 5 horizontal planes each (3000 straws total).
- Based on PANDA design.



A preliminary analysis of the chamber resolution using a small calibration dataset shows a position resolution of approximately 115  $\mu$ m.

# Scattered-particle scintillators (USC)



SPS provides event trigger and particle ID Front wall: 18 bars (6 cm x 3 cm x 120 cm) Rear wall: 28 bars (6 cm x 6 cm x 220 cm)



Scattered-particle scintillators exceed required time resolution:

 $\sigma$ (Front) < 50 ps,  $\sigma$ (Rear) < 60 ps

## MUSE directly compares $\mu p$ to ep cross sections

Projected relative statistical uncertainties in the ratio of  $\mu p$  to ep elastic **cross sections**. Systematics  $\approx 0.5\%$ .



The relative statistical uncertainties in the form factors are half as large.

The MUon Scattering Experiment at PSI (MUSE), MUSE Technical Design Report, arXiv:1709.09753 [physics.ins-det].

### MUSE allows to study two-photon exchange



Projected relative uncertainty in the ratio of  $\mu$ +p to  $\mu$ -p elastic cross sections. Systematics: 0.2%



# Projected MUSE proton charge-radius results



Comparisons of, e.g., e to  $\mu$  or of  $\mu$ + to  $\mu$ - are insensitive to many of the systematics

The MUon Scattering Experiment at PSI (MUSE), MUSE Technical Design Report, arXiv:1709.09753 [physics.ins-det].



- Proton radius puzzle: The discrepancy between muonic and electronic measurements of the proton radius is a  $5.6\sigma$  effect.
- MUSE scattering experiments off the proton address the puzzle:
  - $\mu^{\pm}p$  and  $e^{\pm}p$  scattering directly tests interesting possibilities:

Are  $\mu p$  and ep interactions different? If so, does it arise from  $2\gamma$  exchange effects ( $\mu^+ \neq \mu^-$ ) or beyond the standard model physics ( $\mu^+ \approx \mu^- \neq e^-$ )?

- Experiment setup and dress-rehearsal run ongoing at PSI.
- Planning for production running in 2019-2020.