LEPTON FLAVOUR UNIVERSALITY AT LHCb

Francesca Dordei, CERN and INFN Cagliari On behalf of the LHCb Collaboration

NuFACT 2018, August 12-18 2018



"I suppose I'll be the one to mention the elephant in the room."



Why flavour physics?

Most BSM physics models **predict additional heavy particles**:

- can enter in tree and internal loop diagrams
- can lead to sizeable modification of observables such as branching ratios

Comparison of **precise measurements** with precise predictions from SM can reveal the presence of BSM physics.

• Imagine if Fitch and Cronin had stopped at the 1% level, how much physics would have been missed (A. Soni)

Indirect searches for BSM physics are sensitive to much higher mass scales O(10 - 100TeV) than direct searches for new particles

Theoretical uncertainties are under control for many observables

Never stop checking

• Standard Model features Lepton Flavour Universality: accidental symmetry of the SM

- $\circ~$ Equal electroweak coupling to all charged leptons.
- $\circ\,$ Difference in dynamics driven solely by the difference in the masses $m_e < m_\mu \ll m_ au$



° In this presentation: intriguing hints of anomalies in B decays observed by different experiments in



Flavour-changing CHARGED current $(b \rightarrow c \ell \bar{\nu})$



Flavour-changing NEUTRAL current $(b \rightarrow s(d)\ell^+\ell^-)$



Flavour physics at LHCb

- Single arm spectrometer designed for high precision flavour physics measurements
- Pseudorapidity range $\eta \in [2,5]$
- $\circ~$ # of Primary Vertices ~ 2
- Decay time res: ~45 fs
- $\circ~$ IP res: ${\sim}20~\mu m$ for high p_T
- Highly eff. PID
- Excellent primary and secondary vertex reconstruction [INT.J.MOD.PHYS A30 (2015) 1530022]

BEAUTY SIGNATURES

- Mass $m(B^+) = 5.28 \text{ GeV}$
- Daughter $p_T \mathcal{O}(1 \text{ GeV})$
- Lifetime $\tau(B^+) \sim 1.6$ ps
- Flight distance ~1 cm
- Detached secondary vertex

Large number of beauty hadrons:

- $\sigma_{b\bar{b}}$ (7 TeV) = 72.0 ± 0.3 ± 6.8 µb
- $\sigma_{b\bar{b}}(13 \text{ TeV}) = 154.3 \pm 1.5 \pm 14.3 \ \mu\text{b}$

[PRL 118 (2017) 052002]

The experimental scenario





RARE DECAYS

Rare decays as probe for NP

- $^\circ\,$ Rare FCNC decays are loop-suppressed in the SM $(\mathcal{B}{\sim}10^{-6}-10^{-7})$
- New heavy particles can significantly contribute, affecting decay rates and angular distributions
- Model independent description using effective, four-fermion point interactions





Experimental analysis and q² dependence

- B-hadron mass is reconstructed from final hadron decays and two energetic leptons
- Background events suppressed by requiring displaced vertices
- Study of the differential branching fraction in bins of $q^2 = m(\ell^+\ell^-)^2$, invariant mass of di-lepton system
- In order to remove long distance effects (i.e. $b \rightarrow (c\bar{c} \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-)s$) the narrow charmonium resonances are vetoed and used as control samples.

Branching fractions of rare $b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-$

- **Low q² region**: Data consistently below SM predictions
- But sizeable hadronic theory uncertainties
- \circ Tensions at 1-3 σ
- Future: measurement of CP and Isospin asymmetries – percent level accuracy expected in Upgrade II



Anomaly

Angular analysis of $b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-$ decays

• $B^0 \to K^{*0} (\to K^+\pi^-) \mu^+\mu^-$ exhibits rich angular structure, e.g. the less form-factor dependent observable P_5 : proportional to asymmetry of red and blue





LHCb, JHEP02 (2016) 104 Belle, PRL 118 (2017) 111801 ATLAS-CONF-2017-023 CMS, PLB 81 (2018) 517

nomaly

- In q² bins [4,6] and [6,8] GeV²/c⁴ local deviations of 2.8 σ and 3.0 σ
- LHCb-only global $B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$ analysis corresponds to 3.4 σ
- Debate ongoing on SM calculations

Upgrade II sensitivity with $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$



• Expect ~440 000 $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$ candidates in Upgrade II (roughly Run 1 statistics for tree-level charmonia modes)

- Allows for determination of angular observables with unprecedented precision
- Different NP scenarios can be cleanly separated
- q²-unbinned approaches allow to better exploit the data [JHEP 11 (2017) 176]

Preliminary

Global fit from $b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-$ decays



[JHEP 06(2016)092] [arXiv:1611.05060] [EPJC 77 6(2017)377]

- \circ Global fit to the real part of C₉ and C₁₀
- $\circ~$ The best fit for several global analyses points towards a shift in C_9
- $\circ~$ Discrepancy up to 5σ
- Discussion is ongoing about our understanding of QCD effects in branching fractions and angular observables

Lepton universality: $R(K^{(*)})$



$$R_{K^{(*)}} = \frac{\Gamma(\overline{B} \to \overline{K}^{(*)} \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\Gamma(\overline{B} \to \overline{K}^{(*)} J/\psi \to \mu^+ \mu^-)} / \frac{\Gamma(\overline{B} \to \overline{K}^{(*)} e^+ e^-)}{\Gamma(\overline{B} \to \overline{K}^{(*)} J/\psi \to e^+ e^-)}$$

- The double ratio reduces systematic uncertainties
- $R_X^{SM} = 1 \pm \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$ (neglecting m_ℓ), QED effects $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$ [EPJC 76 (2016) 8,440]
- ° Selection between μ and e channel as similar as possible
- ° Challenging due to the differences between μ and e

Bremsstrahlung correction to improve mass res.

• **Upstream brem**: photon emitted before the magnet, momentum underestimated

Upgrade II: higher backgrounds/combinatorics due to #pp collisions

- Higher calorimeter granularity
- Timing information



Current experimental status $R(K^{(*)})$



Anomaly

- LHCb results are consistently lower than 1
- ° Results from B-factories are compatible (with less precision)
- These results point to a shift in the muonic C_9 in accordance with the other anomalies detected in $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ decays 14



Combination of $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$

- Many different global fits incorporating different measurements (up to 100 observables)
- Combine LFU obs. in effective theory framework to determine Wilson coeff. (here: C⁹ and C¹⁰)
- Combination of R(K^(*)) and angular analysis of B⁰ → K^{*0}ℓ⁺ℓ⁻ [arXiv:1612.05014] shows tension with SM prediction at ~4σ [PRD 96 (2017) 055008]
- Remarkable consistency: BF, angular, $R(K^{(*)})$, b \rightarrow s γ data all point to $\Delta C_{\mu}^{9} \sim -1$.
- Triggered models with Z', leptoquarks(LQ), and composite Higgs

Upgrade II expectations for $R(K^{(*)})$

Nominal NP	LHCb Upgrade II Scenario-I	$+ R_{K} [1,6]$				Expected yields					lds
Right-handed			R_{K}^{*} [1,0] R_{ϕ} [1,6]		$\Delta C_0 = -1.4$	Yield	Run 1 result	$9{\rm fb}^{-1}$	$23{\rm fb}^{-1}$	$50\mathrm{fb}^{-1}$	$300\mathrm{fb}^{-1}$
	LHCb Upgrade II Scenario-II	-0-	,		$\Delta c_g = 1.4$	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ e^+ e^-$	254 ± 29 [272]	1 1 2 0	3 300	7 500	46 000
					$\Lambda C_{1} = 0.7$	$B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} e^+ e^-$	111 ± 14 [273]	490	1400	3 300	20 000
					$\Delta C_9 = -0.7$ $\Delta C_{10} = \pm 0.7$	$B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi e^+ e^-$	—	80	230	530	3300
					$\Delta c_{10} = +0.1$	$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p K e^+ e^-$	—	120	360	820	5000
	LHCb Upgrade II Scenario-III			1		$B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ e^+ e^-$	-	20	70	150	900
				· · ·	$\Delta \mathcal{C}'_9 = +0.3$	R_X precision	Run 1 result	$9{ m fb}^{-1}$	$23{ m fb}^{-1}$	$50{ m fb}^{-1}$	$300{ m fb}^{-1}$
				2	$\Delta C_{10}' = +0.3$	R_K	$0.745 \pm 0.090 \pm 0.036$ [272]	0.043	0.025	0.017	0.007
	LHCb Upgrade II Scenario-IV				-	$R_{K^{*0}}$	$0.69 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.05$ [273]	0.052	0.031	0.020	0.008
					$\Delta C'_9 = +0.3$	R_{ϕ}		0.130	0.076	0.050	0.020
				4	$\Delta {\cal C}_{10}^{\prime\circ}=-0.3$	R_{pK}	_	0.105	0.061	0.041	0.016
	LHCb Run 1		-			$\hat{R_{\pi}}$	-	0.302	0.176	0.117	0.047
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								certainties		
					Λ_X						

- Huge samples of rare electron modes available in Upgrade II $N_{K^+e^+e^-} \sim 46\ 000$, $N_{K^{*0}e^+e^-} \sim 20\ 000$
- Ultimate precision on R_{K,K^*} will be better than 1%
- Different R_X allow to probe different combinations of Wilson coefficients, separation of NP scenarios possible!
- ° Projections don't include improved ECAL for Upgrade II

16

Preliminary

[LHCb Upgrade Physics Document]

(in preparation)

PUB-2018-009



SEMI-LEPTONIC DECAYS

Lepton universality test in tree-level decays



Tests of LFU in semitauonic decays are obtained measuring the following ratios

$$R_X = \frac{\Gamma(B \to X_c \tau^+ \nu_{\tau})}{\Gamma(B \to X_c \mu^+ \nu_{\mu})} \quad \text{with } X_c = D^* \text{ or } J/\psi$$

Ratios sensitive to possible NP coupling mainly to the 3rd generation

- SM predictions:
- $R(D^*) = 0.258 \pm 0.005$ [HFLAV Summer 2018]

• R(J/ ψ) ϵ [0.25, 0.28] [PLB452 (1999) 120, arXiv:0211021, PRD73 (2006) 054024, PRD74 (2006) 074008]

Current experimental status

LHCb has performed analysis of

 $\begin{array}{l} R_{D^*} = 0.336 \pm 0.027 \pm 0.030 \text{ with } \tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \nu_\tau \bar{\nu}_\mu \\ \text{compatible with the SM at } 2.1 \, \sigma \, [\text{PRL 115 (2015) 111803}] \\ R_{D^*} = 0.291 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.013 \text{ with } \tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- (\pi^0) \nu_\tau \\ \text{compatible with the SM at } 1 \, \sigma \, [\text{PRL 120 (2018) 171802}] \\ R_{J/\psi} = 0.71 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.18 \text{ using } B_c^+ \text{ decays} \\ \text{compatible with the SM at } \sim 2 \, \sigma \, [\text{PRL 120 (2018) 121801}] \end{array}$

LHCb performs template fits to e.g. m_{miss}^2 , q^2 , E_{ℓ}^* relying on

- its excellent vertexing to approximate the B-momentum
- powerful particle identification and tracking to suppress backgrounds



Combination of $R_{D^{(*)}}$ measurements

nomaly



Combine LHCb $R_{D^{(*)}}$ with B-factory results. All measurements are above SM predictions. Deviation of $R_{D^{(*)}}$ combination from SM ~4.1 σ Recent theory input reduces tension [JHEP 11 (2017) 061] to 3.8 σ

Upgrade II prospects

Upgrade II: new observables beyond the BF ratio

- Expect O(10 M) $\overline{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)} \tau \overline{\nu}$ candidates
- $\,\circ\,$ Sensitivity Upgr. II: $\sigma(R_{D^*})/$ $R_{D^*}{\sim}1\%$
- Angular analysis would allow to determine spin structure of potential NP contribution



• Kinematics of $\overline{B} \to D^{(*)}\tau\overline{\nu}$ fully described by dilepton mass, and three angles, χ , θ_L and θ_d (better resolution)



Upgrade II: exploit other b-hadron species

- B_s⁰ → D_s^{(*)+}τ⁻ν̄: 6% (2.5%) relat. unc. after Run 3 (Upgrade II)
 Semitauonic decays of b-baryons and of B_c⁺ mesons
 - $R(\Lambda_c^+)$ 4% (2.5%) relat. unc. after Run 3 (Upgrade II)

Lepton flavour violation

- LFV branching fractions enhanced to 10⁻¹¹ in certain models of leptoquarks, Z' [Medeiros Varzielas, Hiller, JHEP 06 (2015) 072]
- $\circ\,$ LHCb was the first experiment to search for LFV τ decays in a hadron collider

		$\mathcal{B}(B^0_{(s)} o e\mu)$	$\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{B} o \boldsymbol{ au} \boldsymbol{\mu})$	${\cal B}(au o \mu \mu \mu)$	
Translate BR limits into limits on leptoquark mass	Run I @ 90 C.L.	$< 1.0 (5.4) \text{ x10}^{-9}$	Soon	$< 4.6 \mathrm{x} 10^{-8}$	
	HL-LHC @ 90 C.L.	< 3 (9) x10 ⁻¹⁰⁽¹¹⁾	<3x10 ⁻⁶	< <i>O</i> (10 ⁻⁹)	
				s s	Similar to what is

expected from Belle II

Searches for $B \to Ke\mu, B \to K^{*0}\tau (\to \pi\pi\pi\nu)\mu, B \to K\tau (\to \pi\pi\pi\nu)\mu$ and $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda^0 e\mu$ are ongoing

- Using Run1 + Run2 data expects limits $\mathcal{O}(10^{-9})$ and $\mathcal{O}(10^{-6})$ for $B \to Ke\mu$ and $B \to K^{*0}\tau\mu$, respectively
- Complementary as charged lepton FV couplings among different families are expected to be different
- Multi-body final states: allow the measurement of more observables

Conclusions

- ° Intriguing hints of anomalies in B decays, powerful probes of the SM!
- Both Belle2 and LHCb experiments could individually confirm or rule out the current flavour anomaly by ~2025 [arXiv:1709.10308]
- If true, hugely important for the future development of high-energy particle physics, providing a **clear target for future searches at energy frontier**... exactly what's missing right now!

Even if not confirmed, they serve as a good example of the potential of FP at Upgrade II to probe beyond the energy frontier.



Thanks for your attention!



"Welcome! Today's topic is 'How To Give A Presentation Without Losing Your Audience's Attention'. The End. Thank you for coming."



BACKUP

B-anomalies and neutrino interplay

- Impact of lepton flavour universality violation on CP violation sensitivity of long baseline neutrino oscillation experiments [https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.00327]
- Combined explanations of B-physics anomalies: the sterile neutrino solution [https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.10745]
- Anomalies in (semi)-leptonic *B* decays and possible resolution with sterile neutrino [https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.04335]
- Leptoquarks in Flavour Physics and the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon [https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.03380]
- Synergy and complementarity between neutrino physics and low-energy intensity frontiers [https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.05947]
- B-physics anomalies: a guide to combined explanations [https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.07808]
- And many more!

Prospects for selected flavour observables

Observable	Current LHCb	LHCb 2025	Belle II	Upgrade II	GPDs Phase II
EW Penguins					
$\overline{R_K \ (1 < q^2 < 6 \mathrm{GeV}^2 c^4)}$	$0.1 \ 255$	0.022	0.036	0.006	-
$R_{K^*} \ (1 < q^2 < 6 \mathrm{GeV}^2 c^4)$	$0.1 \ \ 254$	0.029	0.032	0.008	_
$R_{\phi}, R_{pK}, R_{\pi}$		0.07, 0.04, 0.11	-	0.02, 0.01, 0.03	-
CKM tests					
γ , with $B_s^0 \to D_s^+ K^-$	$\binom{+17}{-22}^{\circ}$ [123]	4°	_	1°	_
γ , all modes	$(^{+5.0}_{-5.8})^{\circ}$ 152	1.5°	1.5°	0.35°	_
$\sin 2\beta$, with $B^0 \to J/\psi K_s^0$	0.04 569	0.011	0.005	0.003	-
ϕ_s , with $B_s^0 \to J/\psi \phi$	49 mrad 32	$14 \mathrm{mrad}$	-	$4 \mathrm{\ mrad}$	22 mrad 570
ϕ_s , with $B_s^0 \to D_s^+ D_s^-$	170 mrad 37	$35 \mathrm{mrad}$	-	$9 \mathrm{\ mrad}$	_
$\phi_s^{s\bar{s}s}$, with $B_s^0 \to \phi\phi$	150 mrad 571	60 mrad	-	$17 \mathrm{\ mrad}$	Under study 572
a_{sl}^s	$33 imes 10^{-4}$ [193]	$10 imes 10^{-4}$	-	$3 imes 10^{-4}$	_
$ V_{ub} / V_{cb} $	6% 186	3%	1%	1%	-
$B^0_s, B^0 { ightarrow} \mu^+ \mu^-$					
$\overline{\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)} / \mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$	90% 244	34%	_	10%	21% [573]
$\tau_{B^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-}$	22% 244	8%	_	2%	
$S_{\mu\mu}$		-	_	0.2	_
$b \to c l^- \bar{\nu_l} { m LUV} { m studies}$					
$\overline{R(D^*)}$	9% 199 202	3%	2%	1%	_
$R(J/\psi)$	25% 202	8%	-	2%	_
Charm					
$\Delta A_{CP}(KK - \pi\pi)$	$8.5 imes 10^{-4}$ [574]	$1.7 imes10^{-4}$	$5.4 imes10^{-4}$	$3.0 imes10^{-5}$	_
$A_{\Gamma} (\approx x \sin \phi)$	$2.8 imes 10^{-4}$ 222	$4.3 imes10^{-5}$	$3.5 imes10^{-5}$	$1.0 imes10^{-5}$	_
$x \sin \phi$ from $D^0 \to K^+ \pi^-$	$13 imes10^{-4}$ 210	$3.2 imes10^{-4}$	$4.6 imes10^{-4}$	$8.0 imes10^{-5}$	-
$x\sin\phi$ from multibody decays		$(K3\pi)~4.0\times10^{-5}$	$(K_{ m s}^0\pi\pi)~1.2 imes 10^{-4}$	$(K3\pi)$ $8.0 imes10^{-6}$	_

Based on extrapolations from current measurements, and take no account of detector improvements apart from an approximate factor two increase in efficiency for hadronic modes, coming from the full software trigger that will be deployed from Run 3 onwards.

Similar challenges



Pileup in HL-LHC: ~200 for ATLAS/CMS, ~50 for LHCb Common themes: timing, granularity and radiation hardness

FCNC transitions



scenario	$C_9^{ m NP}$	C_{10}^{NP}	C'_9	C'_{10}
Ι	-1.4	0	0	0
II	-0.7	0.7	0	0
III	0	0	0.3	0.3
\mathbf{IV}	0	0	0.3	-0.3

- LFU will play a large role in Upgrade II physics case
- Improvements: Reduce the material (e.g. RF-foil), improve ECAL granularity, better Brem recovery algorithms
- Upgrade II: 440k fully reconstructed $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$ will allow a q²-unbinned approach \Rightarrow probe the SM contributions, NP expected to have no q² dependence
- $\,\circ\,$ Compare angular distr. $B^0 \to K^{*0} e^+ e^- / \ B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$
- Upgrade will provide thousands of b → dℓ+ℓ⁻ decays (e.g. 4300 B_s⁰ → K^{*0}μ⁺μ⁻), angular analysis possible
 45k B⁺ → K⁺e⁺e⁻ and 20k B⁰ → K^{*0}e⁺e⁻ in the Upgrade II → Ultimate precision on R_K(*)<1%
- $R_{\varphi}, R_{pK}, R_{\pi}, \dots$ will be possible un Upgrade II



Where are we?

- After Higgs discovery, no more guarantees
- Situation may resemble around 1900 "... it seems probable that most of the grand underlying principles have been firmly established ..." (Michelson 1894)

• LHC confirms that the SM is robust, but:

- Hierarchy problem
- Dark part of the Universe
- Matter/Antimatter asymmetry

• ...

LHC at present is our most powerful accelerator to address these challenges

