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• An introduction to the T2K experiment.
  • Increases in our dataset.
• Summer 2018 oscillation results.
  • Improvements in our analysis methods.
• The future of the T2K program.
  • Run scheduling.
  • Current upgrades.
  • Future upgrade plans.
• Conclusions and outlook.
Three-flavour Neutrino Oscillation

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\nu_e \\
\nu_\mu \\
\nu_\tau
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\
0 & -s_{23} & c_{23}
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
c_{13} & 0 & s_{13}e^{-i\delta_{CP}} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-s_{13}e^{i\delta_{CP}} & 0 & c_{13}
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\
-s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
\nu_1 \\
\nu_2 \\
\nu_3
\end{pmatrix}
\]

6 parameters: three mixing angles, two mass-squared splittings and a CP-violating phase.

- Long baseline experiments can measure:
  - $\theta_{23}$ and $|\Delta m^2_{32}|$ via $\nu_\mu$ disappearance
  - $\theta_{13}$ and $\delta_{CP}$ via $\nu_e$ appearance.
  - Neutrino mass ordering.

Atmospheric & LBL disappearance

Reactor & LBL appearance

Solar & Reactor

T2K
The T2K Experiment

- Long baseline neutrino oscillation experiment in Japan.
- High intensity muon neutrino beam produced at J-PARC.
- Super-Kamiokande used as far detector at 295 km.
- Off-axis technique is used to get beam energy sharply peaked at 0.6 GeV.
- Precision measurements of $\nu_\mu$ disappearance.
- Originally designed to discover $\nu_e$ appearance.
- Now performing searches for CP violation using $\nu_e$ and $\bar{\nu}_e$ appearance.
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T2K Presentations at NuFACT

- Clarence Wret: T2K Cross Section Results
  - WG2 Parallel Session, Monday.
- Chris Densham: Upgrades to the J-PARC Target and Beam Window
  - WG3 Parallel Session, Monday
- Xianguo Lu: Recent Results from the T2K Near Detector
  - Plenary VI, Wednesday
- Thorsten Lux: T2K Near Detector Upgrades and Plans for T2HK
  - Plenary VI, Wednesday
- Davide Sgalaberna: Details of the T2K oscillation analyses
  - WG1 Parallel Session, Thursday
- Clarence Wret: T2K Cross-sections for oscillation analysis
  - WG2 Parallel Session, Friday
J-PARC Neutrino Beam

Three-horn design for focusing pions, in two beam modes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\nu$-mode</td>
<td>Forward horn current (FHC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{\nu}$-mode</td>
<td>Reverse horn current (RHC)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stable beam running, at $\sim 485$ kW.
Near Detectors

Off-axis (2.5°)
ND280

Tracker used for results here

On axis (INGRID)

See Xianguo Lu’s talk for ND280 details
Far Detector: Super-Kamiokande

50 kt ultrapure water Cherenkov detector instrumented with 11,000 PMTS in the inner detector for 40% photo-coverage. 1 km underground to reduce background.

Excellent muon-electron separation
Good at reconstructing T2K energy range neutrinos
Super-K PID

Ring “fuzziness” from scattering: excellent electron-muon separation
Effects of oscillation at Super-K

Use of the off-axis technique places a sharp flux peak around the first oscillation maximum.
Collected Beam to Date

23 Jan. 2010 – 31 May 2018
POT total: $3.16 \times 10^{21}$

ν-mode $1.51 \times 10^{21}$ (47.83%)
ν̄-mode $1.65 \times 10^{21}$ (52.17%)

POT = protons on target
Our measure of exposure.

Results presented today analyse:
FHC: $1.49\times10^{21}$ POT
RHC: $1.12\times10^{21}$ POT
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Analysis Approach

Simulation
+ Beam monitors
+ NA61 Data on $\pi$ and $K$ yields

Neutrino Cross-Section Model

Neutrino Flux Model

Detector Model

Neutrino Oscillation Model

FD fit

FD Data

FD Predictions

ND fit

ND Predictions

ND Data

ND Constraint on Fluxes and Cross-Sections

Oscillation Physics

Simulation
+ External $\nu/e/h$ reaction data
Hadron Yields from NA61/SHINE

- Large-acceptance detector with excellent charge/mass measurement capability.
  - Covers almost the entire T2K p-θ phase space.
- Measures hadron yields from a 31 GeV/c proton beam.
  - From the SPS at CERN.
  - Total flux uncertainty on signal particle flux reduced from ~10% to ~5% at the T2K beam peak energy.

See talk by Athula Wickremasinghe
ND280 Data Samples

- To constrain the combination of flux and interaction systematics at Super-K, data samples are fitted at the ND280.

- Uses three topologies in neutrino beam-mode:
  - $\nu_\mu$ CC0πi, $\nu_\mu$ CC1π+ and $\nu_\mu$ CC other

- Four topologies in antineutrino beam-mode:
  - $\bar{\nu}_\mu$ CC 1-track, $\bar{\nu}_\mu$ CC N-track (right-sign)
  - $\nu_\mu$ CC 1-track, $\nu_\mu$ CC N-track (wrong-sign)

- Uses data samples interacting on Carbon and Oxygen, binned in muon momentum and angle.

- Fitting multiple samples allows more accurate constraints on interaction physics.
ND280 Topologies
Neutrino Mode (FHC)
ND280 Topologies
Antineutrino Mode (RHC)
ND280 Fitted Data

FGD1 $\nu_\mu$ CC0π sample

Prefit

POSTFIT

Reduces uncertainty at Super-K

PRELIMINARY
Super-K Analysis Improvements

- Two big improvements to Super-K analysis were made for 2017:
  - Introduction of $\nu_e$ CC1$\pi$ sample:
    - adds $\sim$10% to $\nu_e$ statistics.
  - Increase in size of Super-K fiducial volume:
    - Used to cut every event with vertex $< 2$ m from the detector wall
    - Now separate into two cuts:
      - Vertex distance from wall along particle trajectory (aka `towall').
      - Shortest distance vertex to wall (known as `wall').
    - These are tuned per-sample, with wall $\sim$50cm and towall $\sim$2m.
    - This increases fiducial volume, increasing statistics by 15-20%.
  - Total improvement of 30% statistics.
Super-K Predicted Spectra
(PDG2016 Oscillations)

Red = Postfit
Blue = Prefit

\( \nu_e \)
No decay \( \nu_e \)

\( 1 \text{ decay } \nu_e \)

Steve Dennis
Super-K Data

1.49x10^{21} \text{ POT} \quad \nu_{\mu}

1.12x10^{21} \text{ POT} \quad \bar{\nu}_{\mu}
## Super-K Event Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Predicted</th>
<th>Observed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\delta_{CP} = \ldots$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\pi/2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+$\pi/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHC 1R$\mu$</td>
<td>268.5</td>
<td>268.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHC 1R$\mu$</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>95.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHC 1Re No decay e</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>61.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHC 1Re 1 decay e</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHC 1Re 0 decay e</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consistent with three-flavour osc, p-value $\sim 0.05$
Oscillation Analyses

- I’ll be presenting several different outputs from the oscillation analysis.
- We search for electron antineutrino appearance, looking for a discovery of this phenomenon.
- We attempt to use full joint-fitting to evaluate $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ and $\delta_{\text{CP}}$.
  - We produce $\delta_{\text{CP}}$ confidence regions both using T2K-only data and by using the precise constraint on $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ from reactors.
- We also perform precision measurements on the atmospheric parameters $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ and $|\Delta m^2_{32}|$.
  - Driven by $\nu_\mu / \bar{\nu}_\mu$ disappearance.
  - This also allows a study of the neutrino mass ordering.
**Electron Antineutrino Appearance**

Two hypotheses:

Standard PMNS $\bar{\nu}_e$ appearance ($\beta=1$) and no $\bar{\nu}_e$ appearance ($\beta=0$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\beta=0$</td>
<td>No $\bar{\nu}_e$ Appearance</td>
<td>P=0.233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta=1$</td>
<td>PMNS $\bar{\nu}_e$ Appearance</td>
<td>P=0.0867</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No evidence yet!

Event distribution is also consistent with background.
Joint Fits for CP Violation - 2D

Data fit gives a stronger constraint than the predicted sensitivity given PDG2016 value $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.0219$
CP Violation - 1D

CP conserved values fall outside 2-sigma region for both mass orderings!
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ vs $|\Delta m^2_{32}|$

T2K+reactor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Inverted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>\Delta m^2</td>
<td>(10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.434 \pm 0.064$</td>
<td>$2.410^{+0.062}_{-0.063}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity is consistent
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Mass Ordering

• We also perform Bayesian analysis, and use this to express our confidence about the mass ordering.

• Currently, we see a Bayes factor of 7.9, preferring normal to inverted ordering.
The Future of T2K

- New near-detectors constructed at J-PARC.
  - WAGASCI (Water Grid and Scintillator) modules installed both on and off-axis.
  - Baby MIND (Magnetised Iron Neutrino Detector) installed as spectrometer for WAGASCI.
- Super-K being upgraded with Gadolinium doping to allow neutrino/antineutrino separation via neutron capture.
- Extension to T2K running period ("T2K-II").
  - "Stage 1" approval from KEK/J-PARC.
  - Upgrade to Main Ring power.
  - Allows 3-sigma median CP violation sensitivity.
- Upgrade to the ND280 near detector has been proposed.
WAGASCI and BabyMIND

- WAGASCI (Water Grid and Scintillator) modules installed in both on-axis and off-axis locations.
  - Measures neutrino interaction cross-sections on water and carbon.

- BabyMIND:
  - Magnetised Iron Neutrino Detector
  - Constructed at CERN
  - Installed in ND280 complex this year.
  - Serves as a spectrometer and charge-ID for WAGASCI.
WAGASCI and BabyMIND

WAGASCI
Water Module

INGRID MODULE

BabyMIND
Super-K Gadolinium Upgrade

- For the first time in a decade, the Super-K tank is open.
- There are ongoing repairs and maintenance to the tank.
- This will be followed by two phases of gadolinium-doping for the water target.
  - First 0.02% Gd, offering 50% neutron capture rate.
  - Later 0.2% Gd, offering 90% neutron capture rate.
- $^{157}$Gd has a very high neutron capture cross-section.
- Delayed coincidence emission of 8 MeV photons can be used to tag antineutrinos.
- Allows charge discrimination:
  - Greater CP-violation sensitivity.
  - And improvements to many other SK targets.
T2K-II Motivation

- T2K’s primary goal is now observation of CP violation in the neutrino sector.
- With the large value of $\sin^2\theta_{13}$ observed, it is now worth extending the T2K-run period:
  - See arXiv:1609.04111
  - T2K’s original POT target was $7.8 \times 10^{21}$ POT.
  - We propose extending this to $20 \times 10^{21}$ POT.
  - This allows up to 3σ median CPV sensitivity.

![Graph showing integrated delivered protons and MR beam power]
ND280 Upgrade

- Next generation upgrade for ND280.
  - Want complete polar angular coverage for muons.
  - Fiducial mass of a few tonnes.
  - High efficiency $4\pi$ tracking of pions and protons in the active volume.
  - Reduce systematic uncertainties at SK to 3-4%.
- Submitted to CERN SPSC as part of the CERN neutrino platform.
  - TDR by end of the year.
  - Installing in 2021.
- Replace P0D with scintillator detector.
- Add high angle TPCs and TOF measurement.
- Keep old tracker and ECal.
Conclusions

- T2K has significantly increased the size of its dataset this year, up to $3.16 \times 10^{21}$ protons-on-target.

- With an analysis of $2.61 \times 10^{21}$ POT split between FHC and RHC, we exclude CP-conservation at 2-sigma.
  - Expect full $3.16 \times 10^{21}$ dataset analysis this year.

- T2K dataset shows a preference for the normal mass ordering.
  - Bayes factor of 7.9

- Many sets of upgrades on the way and we expect continued stable beam running.
  - Potential to see evidence for CP-violation in the lepton sector with the current generation of experiments!
Thanks for listening
Backup
Mass Ordering

- We also perform Bayesian analysis, and use this to express our confidence about the mass ordering.
- Currently, we see a Bayes factor of 7.9, preferring normal to inverted ordering:
  - eg $K=10^{-0.9}$ against the inverted mass ordering.
- Rule of thumb for interpreting these, from The Theory of Probability (Jeffreys, 1961):

  Grade 0. $K > 1$. Null hypothesis supported.
  Grade 1. $1 > K > 10^{-1/2}$. Evidence against $q$, but not worth more than a bare mention.
  Grade 2. $10^{-1/2} > K > 10^{-1}$. Evidence against $q$ substantial.
  Grade 3. $10^{-1} > K > 10^{-3/2}$. Evidence against $q$ strong.
  Grade 4. $10^{-3/2} > K > 10^{-2}$. Evidence against $q$ very strong.
  Grade 5. $10^{-2} > K$. Evidence against $q$ decisive.

Then again, most fields have less strict standards for statistical significance than us...