Mateus F. Carneiro



NuFact 2018 August 15 2018

Recent Results from MINERvA



Outline

Challenges and motivation The detector Recent Results



Outline

Challenges and motivation

The detector Recent Results



Initial State Interactions

Neutrinos can interact in a variety of channels:

- Quasi-Elastic
- Resonance
- Deep Inelastic Scattering





Neutrino



Antineutrino







Initial State Interactions

Neutrinos can interact in a variety of channels:

ν

- Quasi-Elastic
- Resonance
- Deep Inelastic Scattering
- Neutrino electron elastic scattering





Initial State Interactions

Neutrinos can interact in a variety of channels:

- Quasi-Elastic
- Resonance
- Deep Inelastic Scattering
- Neutrino electron elastic scattering
- Screening





Initial State Interactions

Neutrinos can interact in a variety of channels:

- Quasi-Elastic
- Resonance
- Deep Inelastic Scattering
- Neutrino electron elastic scattering
- Screening

- ...

- Multi-nucleon Correlations





Final State Interactions (FSI)

The products of the Initial interaction undergo different process before leaving the nucleus





Final State Interactions (FSI)

The products of the Initial interaction undergo different process before leaving the nucleus





Final State

What is actually seen in the detector cannot be classified in terms of the Initial Interactions

We need to define our samples in terms of observed topologies





Outline

Challenges and motivation The detector

Recent Results

The NuMI Beam



figure courtesy Ž. Pavlović





figure courtesy Ž. Pavlović





NIM A743 (2014) 130 **The Detectors Elevation View** Side HCAL MINERVA + MINOS Side ECAL **MINOS Near Detector** (Muon Spectrometer) Nuclear Target Regior (C, Pb, Fe, H₂O) Scintillator Veto Wal v-Beam Electromagnetic Steel Shield Calorimeter Calorimeter Hadronic 120 modules stacked 3.45 m 2.14 m Active Tracker Region along the beam line in 8.3 tons total Liquid three orientations Helium 15 tons 30 tons Side ECAL 0.6 tons Side HCAL 116 tons Fine-grained scintillator 5 m -2 m tracker surrounded by Scintillator - tracking Lead - EM calorimetry calorimeters Steel - hadronic calorimetry MINOS near detector as a muon spectrometer 16.7 mm Upstream nuclear 17 targets to measure mm A-dependence Charge sharing for improved position resolution (~3 mm) and alignment



Outline

Challenges and motivation The detector

Recent Results



Look at inclusive scattering



Monte Carlo = GENIE 2.8.4 + RPA + 2p2h Valencia model

Added processes improves agreement, but not enough



The Low Energy Recoil Fit



Weighing up the 2p2h events with a 2D Gaussian weight

This tune designed to **empirically** "fill in" the dip region not whole kinematic range (**does not scale true QE or resonant production**)



CCQE-like (or CC0pi) sample selection

CC0pi in MINERvA

Scattering on CH (scintillator)

MINOS matched muons

Reconstructed Protons with > 120 MeV

Neutrons seem ~20% of the time

No Pions





Neutrino CC0pi double differential cross section

Applying the inclusive fit into the exclusive data Double differential Cross section in terms of muon parallel and transverse momentum





Antineutrino CC0pi double differential cross section

Applying the inclusive fit into the exclusive data Double differential Cross section in terms of muon parallel and transverse momentum





Antineutrino Q² and E_v

Cross Sections

Derived cross sections, inclusive for all data

GENIE comparisons show some 2p2h is essential

Full X² indicates a preference for models with RPA and 2p2h.

Theoretical models (orange) seem to not have enough strength





CC0pi Vertex Region Energy

Distribution not well predicted in our earlier results

The tuned GENIE does a much better job of modelling this distribution, but is there more we can learn?





Phys. Rev. D 97, 052002 (2018)

Publication in preparation



Neutrino CC0pi

Perfect?

$High Q^2$

is a region where we are pushing the extent of the dipole approximation

Low Q²

is a region of phase space where the fraction of events has an increased population of resonant pion qe-like events





CCp0 Cross Section

Perfect?

Recent CCp0 result wants a low Q2 reduction

So does the anti-neutrino result CCp0 result

Not as strongly in the CCp+ result

120

100

60

20

0.0

0.2 0.4

0.6

POT Normalize

 $d\sigma/dQ^2$ (10⁻⁴⁰ cm²/nucleon/GeV²







Proton Muon Correlations in CC0 π

Transverse kinematic imbalances – Bonus entertainment: Neutrino Shadow Play

http://vms.fnal.gov/asset/detail?recid=1953173 from 00:08:50



Stationary nucleon target

 $\delta \vec{p}_{\rm T} = \vec{p}_{\rm T}^{\rm N} - \Delta \vec{p}_{\rm T}$

Convolution of Fermi motion and intranuclear momentum transfer (IMT) due to FSI, resonance production, 2p2h etc.



Nuclear target



Proton Muon Correlations in CC0\pi

The results compared with generators show quite different expectations for the distributions

This analysis can tell us about Fermi motion, 2p2h and help nuclear effect isolation





FSI Effects in the passive targets

Analyses require a proton track to be well reconstructed

Uses passive targets, tracking determines target







Future Results!

Neutron counting

Using the anti-neutrino low recoil sample we have started counting neutron candidates

We can measure the time, position (2D or 3D) and energy deposited.







Future Results!

Machine learning for vertex id



By using image based Machine Learning, we can improve the vertex reconstruction.



Future Results!

Using electrons to constrain the flux

Selected sample has about 800 n+e events

In the process of finalizing systematics

Flux constraint changes flux uncertainty from about 8% to 6% in the focusing peak





Conclusions

By combining many analyses with different focuses MINERvA is creating a vision of what neutrino interactions in nuclei look like at a few GeV

- RPA, 2p2h seem to be necessary in a Fermi Gas model
- Need more! Works for anti-nu pretty well
- FSI is needed and has issues with A-dependence
- Nuclear model has issues we should focus on
- Neutrons interact in hydrocarbons

Will continue to develop new analysis variables and tunes

The next dataset of MINERvA is starting to produce results.

Stay tuned!





BACKUPS

Nuclear Targets

• Different targets built with combinations of different materials



Fiducial: within 85 cm apothem of beam spot



Why CCQE-like instead of CCQE?

We know that a true CCQE event produces a muon and single nucleon, but what about...?





• Kinematic limit $E \theta^2 < 2m_e$; where E: Electron energy and θ : Electron angle with respect to the neutrino.

Clean separation of signal using cut. Good angular resolution (0.4°) is critical to use $E \theta^2$ cut.



Back to neutrino Energy reconstruction

MINERvA's approach to reconstruct Neutrino Energy is to use calorimetry for all but the final state lepton.

Looking at inclusive charged current events in bins of energy transfer and momentum transfer defining quantity dependent on the details of the final state:

$E_{avail} \equiv$ (Proton and π^{\pm} KE) + (E of other particles except neutrons)

this technique is inspired by electron scattering experiments

