The KLOE-2 Experiment at DAΦNE

Wojciech Wislicki National Center for Nuclear Research, PL

On behalf of KLOE-2 Collaboration

Heavy Quarks and Leptons 2016 Conference Virginia Tech, 24th May 2016





KLOE-2 succeeds KLOE with **upgraded detectors** and **higher luminosity** (crab-waist collision scheme) of DAΦNE collider



INFN Collider e⁺ e⁻ at 1019 MeV or off

Large-size drift chamber 9:1 He:C₄H₁₀ , $\sigma_{vtx} \approx 1$ mm, $\sigma_{pT}/p_T < 0.4\%$ El-mag calorimeter scint. fibers/Pb, $\sigma_E/E=5.7\%/\sqrt{E}$, $\sigma_t=55/\sqrt{E*100}$ ps; Cover 98% of 4n



KLOE-2

Inner tracker: 4-layer cyllindrical GEM tracker surrounding interaction point better vertex resolution (4x improvement) and low- p_{τ} acc.

Electron taggers HET (E>400 MeV) and LET (160-230 MeV): Improved $e^+ e^-$ acceptance for $\gamma\gamma$ physics

<u>Near-beam calorimeters:</u> CCALT - acceptance of γ down to 10°, QCALT - improved acceptance of K_Ldecay products

<u>Upgrades of DAQ</u> (Power7 brds + disks), tape library (upgradable to 175 PB), data servers (integrated Power8) and network

DAΦNE and KLOE-2 performance

Current data-taking campaigne: > 5 fb⁻¹ to be taken in next couple of years with new equipments



KLOE-2 **physics program**, defined E.Phys.J. C68(2010)619 + upcoming ideas

•Search for dark force at ~ 1 GeV scale

Theoretical model involving additional gauge group U(1), resulting with spin-1 gauge boson U (a.k.a. *dark photon, A', \gamma',* able to couple to dark-matter WIMP candidates); experimental bounds on U mass and couplings

Kaon physics

Testing CPT and Lorentz invariance, search for quantum decoherence

•Precision measurements in hadronic physics at low energy

Transition form-factors of Φ to pseudoscalar mesons $\pi^{\scriptscriptstyle 0},\,\eta$

GeV-scale dark matter candidates search



Theoretical **proposal**: B.Holdom, PLB 166B(1986)196 Theoretical **predictions for rates** in resonance decays and e⁺e⁻: P.Fayet, PRD 70(2007)115017

Can interact via mixing term with ordinary photon and subsequently decay into known particles



Processes studied in search for U boson



KLOE-2



- Search for Higgsstrahlung



 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- + (missing energy)$





 \sim



KLOE-2, PLB 720(2013)111

Results for $U \rightarrow \pi^+ \; \pi^- \; on \; 1.93 \; fb^{\text{--}1}$

MC fractional backgrounds (norm. to ππγ) after cuts



Summary for associated U γ and Dalitz $\Phi \rightarrow \eta U$: 90% exclusion regions



Very good mass description: PHOKARA+ $|F_n|$ Gounaris-Sakurai in ω -p interference region



KLOE-2, PLB 757(2016)356

7

Search for **Higgsstrahlung** $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- +$ (missing energy)



Depending on h' and U mass hypothesis, different detection strategies, e.g.

 $m_{h'}>m_{U}$ h' \rightarrow UU, explored by BaBar, Belle

 $m_{h'} < m_{U}$

long lifetime, missing-mass only: KLOE-2 search

Two data samples analysed for different backgrounds: 1.65 fb⁻¹ on-peak (\sqrt{s} =1019 MeV), 0.21 fb⁻¹ off-peak (\sqrt{s} =1000 MeV)





90% CL upper limits on $\alpha_D \varepsilon^2(\mathbf{m}_U) - \alpha_D \varepsilon^2(\mathbf{m}_{h'})$ (smoothed)

KLOE-2, PLB 747(2015)365

CP, CPT and related issues



• Three-pion decays of K_s

CP violation in $K_s \rightarrow 3\pi^0$ and tightening constraints on phase of ϵ using $K_s \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$; also slight improvement of unceretainty on Bell-Steinberger relation

- Sidereal-time and decay-time dependences of decays of entangled K_LK_S pairs
 Fundamental tests of Standard Model extension violating CPT and Lorentz invariance; search for possible dissipative effects in vacuum (decoherence)
- Decay-time dependence of CPT-coupled final states from decays of entangled $K_L K_s$ pairs Test of CPT invariance using time evolution of $I(K_{e3}, K_{3\pi^0})/I(K_{\pi^+\pi^-}, K_{e3})(\Delta t)$
- Charge asymmetries of semi-leptonic decays of K_L and K_S Search for CPT violation using charge asymmetries

 $K_s \rightarrow 3\pi^0$ unambiguously requires CP violation, as $J_{\pi^0}^{PC} = 0^{-+}$

K_s tagged by K_L interactions in calorimeter (K_L crash E deposit > 100 MeV) & timing; then look for events with 6 γ-clusters in calorimeter Initial K_LK_s sample 6*10⁸, E & 6γ 77*10³



 $K_{_S}^{} \rightarrow \pi^+ \; \pi^- \; \pi^0 \; ; \;$

its mere existence does not ensure CP violation (contains also CP- conserving component)

Still, the measurement is important

• Uncertainties of both $\eta_{_{000}}$ and $\eta_{_{+-0}}$ contribute to phase of ϵ via Im($\Gamma_{_{12}}$)

 $\Gamma_{12} = 2\pi \sum_{f} \mathcal{B}(K_{S} \to f) \left[1 - |\eta_{f}|^{2} - 2\Im(\eta_{f}) \right] \quad \text{L. Lavoura, MPL A7(1992)1387}$

- Current experimental accuracy on BR is 30% (CPLEAR, NA48 and E621, 10-20 years ago)
- KLOE-2 pretends to measure BR with 20% accuracy on 1.7 fb⁻¹ of old data and significantly improve on new data, measured directly (not from interference between CP-violating and CP-conserving parts). It is ongoing analysis in KLOE-2

Testing Standard Model Extension violating CPT and Lorentz invariance

D.Calladay, V.A. Kostelecky, PR D55(1997)6760

Couplings of quarks

to SME field

Assume additional CPT- violating term in weak Lagrangian $a_{\mu}\psi\gamma^{\mu}\psi$

CPT (W.Pauli, P.Luders et al.) and anti-CPT theorems (O.Greenberg) suggest that CPT-violation entails Lorentz violation, hence directional dependence, e.g. w.r.t. distant stars, hence possible sidereal time (lab orientation and earth angular velocity) dependednce of $e^{i\phi}$

$$\delta = i\sin(\phi)\frac{e^{i\phi}}{\Delta m}\gamma(\Delta a_0 - \overrightarrow{\beta} \cdot \Delta \overrightarrow{a})$$



and decay intensity of two interfering (entangled) kaons

KLOE-2, entangled pairs $\Phi \rightarrow K_1 \ K_s \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

 $\Delta a_{0} = (-6.0 \pm 7.7 \pm 3.1) \times 10^{-18} \text{ GeV}$ $\Delta a_{x} = (0.9 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-18} \text{ GeV}$ $\Delta a_{y} = (-2.0 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-18} \text{ GeV}$ $\Delta a_{z} = (3.1 \pm 1.7 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-18} \text{ GeV}$

KLOE exhibits the best sensitivity, closer to $m_{\kappa}^{2}/m_{p}=0.2*10^{-19}$ GeV

LHCb, arXiv: 1603.04804, 2016 mixingBaBar, PRL 100(2000)131802
entangled $\Psi(4S) \rightarrow BB \rightarrow (XIv)(XIv)$ $B^{0} \rightarrow J/\psi K_{s}$ $B_{s} \rightarrow J/\psi K^{+} K^{\cdot}$ $\Delta a_{x,y,\parallel} \approx 10^{-15} \text{ GeV}$ $\Delta a_{x,y,\parallel} \approx 10^{-14} \text{ GeV}$ $\Delta a_{\perp} \approx 10^{-13} \text{ GeV}$ $\Delta a_{\perp} \approx 10^{-12} \text{ GeV}$ $\Delta a_{\perp\parallel} \approx 10^{-13} \text{ GeV}$ D0, PRL 115(2015)161601, mixing B_{s}FOCUS, PLB 556(2003)7, mixing D
 $\Delta a_{\perp} \ll 10^{-13} \text{ GeV}$ $\Delta a_{\parallel} \approx 10^{-13} \text{ GeV}$ $\Delta a_{\perp\parallel} \approx 10^{-13} \text{ GeV}$

14

CPT violation and decoherence

$$\Phi \rightarrow \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{L}} \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{S}} \rightarrow (\pi^+ \pi^-)(\pi^+ \pi^-)$$

Search for a possible loss of entanglement due to possible vacuum background effects (e.g. gravitational at microscale) If exists, evolution of the pure into mixed state (decoherence) necessarily violates CPT (theorem by R.Ward, 1980)

- $|(\Delta t) \sim ... + 2(1 \zeta) e^{-\Gamma \Delta t} \cos(\Delta m \Delta t)|$ - Decay of interference term
 - $\zeta = (1.4 \pm 9.5_{stat} \pm 3.8_{svst}) \times 10^{-7}$

(basis-dependent quantity)

 $\zeta_{00} = 4 \times 10^{-6}$

concentrated at very small Δt

 $I(\pi^{+}\pi^{-}, \pi^{+}\pi^{-};\Delta t)$ (a.u.)

- III-defined CPT operator $i \rangle \sim \langle \mathsf{K}^0 \rangle + \bar{\mathsf{K}}^0 \rangle + \omega \langle \langle \mathsf{K}^0 \rangle - \bar{\mathsf{K}}^0 \rangle - \bar{\mathsf{K}}^0 \rangle \langle \mathsf{K}^0 \rangle$

6.0

0.6

0.4

- $\Re(\omega) = (-1.6^{+3.0}_{-2.1} \pm 0.4_{\rm syst}) \times 10^{-4}$ $\Im(\omega) = (-1.7^{+3.3}_{-3.0} \pm 1.2_{\rm syst}) \times 10^{-4}$
- Dissipative decoherence (GeV) $\dot{\rho} = i[\rho, H] + \ddot{H}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)\rho$ $\alpha = (-0.5 \pm 2.8) \times 10^{\circ}$ $\beta = (0.25 \pm 0.23) \times 10$ -18
- 20 $\gamma = (0.11 \pm 0.25) \times 10$
- KLOE, PLB 642(2006)315, FoP 40(2010)852, Theoretical distribution KLOE-2: more statistics, better low Δt resolution, other decay channels



Test CPT invariance in decay-time evolution of CPT-coupled channels

J. Bernabeu, A. di Domenico, P. Villanueva-Perez, JHEP 1510(2015)139

Observables
$$R_{2,4}(\Delta t) = \frac{|(K_{e^{\pm}3}, 3\pi^{0}; \Delta t)|}{|(2\pi, K_{e^{\pm}3}; \Delta t)|} \frac{\mathcal{B}(K_{L} \to 3\pi^{0})}{\mathcal{B}(K_{S} \to 2\pi)|} \frac{\Gamma_{L}}{\Gamma_{S}}$$

exhibit calculable dependence on $Re(\delta)$, in particular asymptotically

$$\mathsf{R}_{2,4}(\Delta t) \sim 1 \mp 4 \Re(\delta), \qquad ext{for } \Delta t \gg \tau_{\mathsf{S}}$$

Statistical sensitivity of $R_{2,4}$ ranges from 3*10⁻³ to 1.5*10⁻³ for KLOE-2 luminosity between 5 fb⁻¹ and 20 fb⁻¹.

Will be the second measurement after 18 y.o. CPLEAR, 1998, Re(δ) \approx 10⁻³, comparable accuracy, another method;

Much desired: knowledge on $Im(\delta)$ more precise (10⁻⁵) and better cross-checked: KLOE, NA48, combined analyses; $Im(\delta)$ contributes to Bell-Steinberger rule and Re(δ) does not.

Ongoing analysis in KLOE-2

Test of CPT invariance using asymmetries of semi-leptonic decays (or yet another way to chase δ ...)

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{S},\mathsf{L}} &= \frac{\Gamma(\mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{S},\mathsf{L}} \to \pi^- e^+ \nu) - \Gamma(\mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{S},\mathsf{L}} \to \pi^+ e^- \bar{\nu})}{\Gamma(\mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{S},\mathsf{L}} \to \pi^- e^+ \nu) + \Gamma(\mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{S},\mathsf{L}} \to \pi^+ e^- \bar{\nu})} & \text{CPT violation assuming } \Delta \mathsf{S} = \Delta \mathsf{Q} \\ &= 2[\Re(\epsilon) \pm \Re(\delta) - \Re(\mathsf{y}) \pm \Re(\mathsf{x}^-)] & \text{Small term describing violation } \Delta \mathsf{Q} = \Delta \mathsf{S} \text{ rule}, \\ & \operatorname{assuming CPT; experimentally (PDG) - 0.002 \pm 0.006} \\ & \text{Current experimental knowledge: } \sigma(\mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{L}}) = 0.7 \times 10^{-4} \text{ (KTeV), } \sigma(\mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{S}}) = 10^{-2} \text{ (KLOE)} \\ & \text{PLB636(2006)173} \\ & \text{Disproportion; crucial to measure } \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{S}} \end{split}$$

4 times statistics from KLOE & further improvement with new KLOE-2 data

New results on transition form factors of Φ to pseudoscalar mesons $\Phi \rightarrow P e^+ e^-$

Needed to validate non-VMD models of form factors VMD fails to describe some transitions, e.g. $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$

 $\Phi \rightarrow \eta(\pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0) e^+ e^-$ 30 000 events, <3% bckrd, 1.7 fb⁻¹



η, π⁰



$\Phi \to \pi^0 ~ e^+ ~ e^-$

14670 event sample, 1.7 fb⁻¹



Summary & concluding remarks

KLOE-2 profits from enhanced DA Φ NE luminosity and new detectors: GEM inner tracker, electron taggers and near-beam calorimeters; aiming to > 5 fb⁻¹ in coming years

Search for BSM physics (dark matter below 1 GeV, testing CPT and Lorentz invariance) and low-energy hadronic physics

KLOE-2 will significantly improve all results on CPT and QM tests

- New results $e^+ e^- \rightarrow U\gamma$, $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \rightarrow Uh'$, $\Phi \rightarrow U\eta$, 90% CLs on α_{dark} vs M_U
- CP-violating $K_s \rightarrow 3\pi$,
- sidereal-time dependence of $K_s K_L$ entanglement: limits on $\Delta a_\mu \approx 10^{-18}$ GeV, more stringent than c and b decays
- New, ongoing analyses of CPT-violating semi-leptonic asymmetries and entangled states decaying to CPT-coupled channels
- Extension of search for decoherence with new detectors (mainly inner tracker)
- Low-q² transition form factors $\Phi \rightarrow \eta \gamma^*$, $\Phi \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma^*$, able to discriminate models

Backup slides

Search for dark photon U: <u>associated Uy production</u> Signature: narrow peak on M_{+} spectrum

40



KLOE-2 PLB, 736(2014)459 PLB, 750(2015)633

PLB, 757(2016)356

<u>Case μ[±] μ</u>[±]

Backgrounds: PHOKARA, no narrow peaking









KLOE-2, PLB 720(2013)111

$\label{eq:Decay} Decay \ \Phi \to \eta U, \quad \eta \to 3\pi$





 $\alpha_{\rm D} \epsilon^2$

m_h, (MeV)

off-peak







100 200 300 400 500 600 700

(MeV) 400

200

0

 $L=1.65 \text{ fb}^{-1}$

 $\alpha_{\rm D} \varepsilon^2$

on peak

800

m₁₁ (MeV)

m_h, (MeV)

400

-8 10

L=0.206 fb⁻¹