

VANDERBILT VUNIVERSITY



Recent Results from 7 GeV proton-proton running at CMS

Will E. Johns Vanderbilt University (for the CMS collaboration) SESAPS 2011







24 m wide, 12.5 Tons 3.8 Tesla (v_{esc} for ~40kg!)

CMS Detector

3

A slice of the CMS experiment



Really quite interesting!

- Lots of particle action along the beam direction
- Not as much transverse to the beam
- Use beam energy to make a Heavy Particle





Not all events are as clean...

- Particles can interact violently in the detector
 - It's a question of probabilities more likely in a Cal
- Some processes are messy by their nature
 - Try to pull quarks apart, get more quarks
- Need the whole detector to do a good job of separating W.Johns, Vanderbilt
 Need the whole More quark pai Heavy Quark Made here

And you get a mess when you were hoping for one big thing



A high B field (+other stuff) helps

- Consider that a 1 TeV charged particle will only bend by about ~1 mm in the tracker
 - Precision tracking helps a lot
 - Can use a Tau particle to get at higher mass
 - A reconstructed mass peak will spread out more
 - But the Tau decay can be clean to a muon, and separable from other processes
 - Think about that heavy quark without the "quark pairs"
 - Can use a calorimeter to measure "momentum"
 - It's also a win-some lose-some since it is easier to trigger on a very stiff (straight) transverse muon
 - So it can help to measure muon momentum again

Language of colliders

- The machine delivers a particle Luminosity
 - Proportional to collision frequency, number of particles in each beam bunch, and inversely proportional to the overlap in the beams

 $\mathbf{L} \propto f N_1 N_2 / (\Delta x \Delta y)$

- And the creation rate for a particular process is given by $R = L\sigma$
- Where the sigma represents the cross-section for the process in question
 - Proportional to the number of "scattered" particles per incident flux (has units of area)
 - One can increase L in a number of ways

Couple of reasons this is interesting

- Higgs rate will be small, but.... Fermileb Multiple UA4/5 Interactions 1 µь رم 10 x1000 COF (p 2 Higgs Territory 0.001 1.0 10 0.01 100 VeT ®√
- Increasing N gives more multiple p-p interactions:



– "pileup" is challenging
for the detector and
event reconstruction
> The LHC is trying to increase

Looking for Higgs



Higgs -> γγ

- Need to separate this from the "q" background
 - Quarks can decay into neutral mesons and baryons
 - Contribution from $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ can be hard to remove!
 - At high momentum, can look like a single photon
 - Look at depositions and tracks around the photon candidate to try and isolate (make a clean) photon
- Not all photons are resolved the same way
 - Some interact before the calorimeter
 - And the calorimeter has a barrel part and an endcap part
 - Separate the analysis: categories based on where the photon is detected, and if it interacted before the Cal



Higgs -> ZZ CMS-PAS-HIG-11-015

- Like our event display!
 - Can be very clean

 $\sigma_{H} \times BR(H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4I)$ [pb]

- Expect p-p collisions to produce ZZ "background"
- $-(e^+e^-)(\mu^+\mu^-)$, 2(e^+e^-), 2($\mu^+\mu^-$)



√s = 7 TeV L = 1.66 fb⁻¹

DATA Z+jets

ΖZ

14

CMS Preliminary 2011

6

Higgs -> ZZ

CMS-PAS-HIG-11-013

- As before, but one Z decays to 2 taus: $e\tau_h$, $\mu\tau_h$, $\tau_h\tau_h$
- Care taken to avoid double counting from previous ZZ
- Hadronic tau decays can be fairly clean as well
 - The tau doesn't have the "q" pairs at creation
 - The particles from the tau can be well collimated (less spray)
- Notice this limit starts at a higher mass than the previous
- Also notice that it's hard to stray beyond your predicted with no events!



Higgs -> ZZ CMS-PAS-HIG-11-016

- Remember transverse momentum balance?
- We can use the balance as a signature
- Use the balance, form Higgs Mass
- As before (e⁺e⁻) or (μ⁺μ⁻), but other Z decays to 2 neutrinos!
- Higher mass here too



Higgs -> ZZ

CMS-PAS-HIG-11-017

- As before (e⁺e⁻) or (μ⁺μ⁻), but use "q" as signal (2 jets)
- Separate Heavy from Light quark jets
 - Categorize on # b quark jets
- Separate quark from gluon (wider & more particle) jets
- Use kinematic variables in clean up, off Z mass di-jet sideband to estimate background



W.Johns, Vanderbilt

Higgs -> W⁺W⁻

- Powerful Mode
- Bkgnds from p-p -> WW, top, W+jets
- WW -> $e^+ v e^- v$, $\mu^+ v \mu^- v$, $e^{+/-} v \mu^{-/+} v$: Missing E_T
- Leptons go ~same dir
- Can also have 0, 1 or 2 jets made with H
 - Split in categories to optimize analysis



Z,W & (Higgs -> bb)

- Large dijet bkgnd
- But mode has lower H mass sensitivity
- Boosted Decision Tree (BDT) for fits
- Z+jets and di-boson states big background
- 5 channels:
 - Z->e⁺e⁻, $\mu^+\mu^-$, or $\nu\nu$
 - W-> eν, μν



Higgs -> $\tau^+\tau^-$

- Use eτ_h, μτ_h, eμ
- SM : 2 jets adtl
 - Helps cut bkgnd
 - Big dijet mass and P_T
- SM : 0 or 1 jet additional

Events

10⁴

10³

10²

10

CMS Preliminary

1.6 fb⁻¹ √s=7 TeV

- Observed

Electroweak

 $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$

Fakes

300

400

tŦ

 $\tau_e \tau_u$

-- Separate category

Events Combine 10^{3} categories 10²

for limit

CMS-PAS-HIG-11-020



10

0

100

200







Higgs Limit Summary



W.Johns, Vanderbilt

Combined Higgs Limit is pushing on the "SM" prediction space



Supersymmetry results



Expect more CMS (LHC) Results soon

- Have more than 3 times the data used for most of the analyses shown here
 - Could get pretty exciting in the next few months
- If we can go to 25 ns bunches, perhaps we can get double next year w/o too much trouble
- Many analysis challenging the Standard Model – (also MSSM, but I won't mention that)
- The results shown indicate the detector and analyses techniques are working well to provide a broad and interesting physic program!