Accumulation of Beneficial Mutations in Low Dimensions

Jakub Otwinowski Stefan Boettcher



EMORY

Evolutionary dynamics

- Rate of adaptation influenced by
 - Fitness Landscape
 - Time dependence
 - Spatial Structure
 - Heterogeneity



Evolutionary dynamics

- Rate of adaptation influenced by
 - Fitness Landscape
 - Time dependence
 - Spatial Structure
 - Heterogeneity



simple model

- N (asexual) organisms
- For each generation, random number of kids
- fitness = mean number kids
- keep total N fixed
- if p(n) ~ poisson, conditional on N being fixed → multinomial

kids inherit fitness

mutation

• single mutant fitness I+s





Fixation

- If mutant has fitness = I + s
- Then probability of fixation = 2s (for small s, large Ns)
- example: s = 0.05, probability of mutation dying is 90%!

Multiple mutations

beneficial mutations arrive with some rate
U, per individual

• s ~ 0.01

Mutation limited evolution

• fixation time << mutation time







competing mutations

• fixation time ~ mutation time



EMORY

Clonal Interference

- mutation A established
- before A fixates, B established
- who wins? A or B?
- one mutation is "wasted"
- probability of fixation reduced



Multiple Mutation Effect

- A and B compete
- A -> AC, AC has a better chance of winning
- mutation C is not wasted



Rate of Fixation

- With competing mutations:
 - R is sublinear with N
- Bacterial experiments show multiple competing mutations are present
 - distributed s? changing U? landscape?



Spatial Structure

- competition only between neighbors
- desegregation of 2 types



Hallatschek and Nelson, Physics Today 2009



Our model

- one dimension: one organism per site
- kids can only choose one of two parents:



- P = 1.01/2.01
- boundaries spread with speed s/2





Log fitness

EMC



Our model

 on a lattice, fixation probability is the same as in zero-D:

- R = 2sUN in mutation limited regime
- fixation time:
 - zero-D: 2/s ln N
 - one-D: 2/s N







Speed limit

- rate saturates with system size
- holds for:

EMORY

- distributed s
- different wave speed
- planar habitats

Martens, Hallatschek, Genetics, 2011

Summary

- Spatial structure increases fixation times. Threshold for interference lowered. Rate of fixation saturates
- rate of fixation reduced in bacterial exp.
- Assumptions: homogenous spatial structure, no rough fitness landscape (epistasis), constant selection pressure
- Harmful mutations?
- Acknowledgements: Stefan Boettcher, Joachim Krug, Fereydoon Family, George Hentschel, David Cutler, Ilya Nemenman

Mixing

- competition/ interference alleviated by:
 - spatial mixing
 - recombination



Martens, Hallatschek, Genetics, 2011 EMORY