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## The study of quantum foundations

- Early debates/studies on the foundations of QM mostly concerned its interpretations.
- Difficult to test with actual experiments.
- John Bell's work (1964) codified our intuition about the classical world in the form of inequalities which allowed us to look for their violations experimentally.
- QM, and any theory that isn't classical, violates Bell inequalities.
- This paved a path to study quantum foundations in a rigorous and experimentally testable way.


## Where does our work fit in?

One way to study the foundations of QM is by generalizing its mathematical framework, which is the focus of this talk.

- Better understanding: Relaxing the mathematical structure and generalizing QM can give insights into the aspects that were generalized.
- New phenomenology: It could describe physical phenomena not present in canonical QM.
- More parameters $\Longrightarrow$ Wider testing: It could allow for a wider testing of certain aspects of QM.


## Generalizations of quantum mechanics

- Canonical QM can be generalized in several distinct directions: Non-linear Schrödinger equation, replace $\mathbb{C}$ with $\mathbb{H}$, etc.
- QM has a rigid structure $\Longrightarrow$ Changes in dynamics can have unphysical consequences.
- For example, Weinberg's non-linear QM allows for FTL communication!
- In general, new parameters that quantify the deviation from QM should be strongly constrained.
- Our work generalizes QM through its geometric formulation. ${ }^{1}$
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## Geometric quantum mechanics: Structure I

- Vector space $\mathbb{C}^{N}=$ Vector space $\mathbb{R}^{2 N}+$ Additional structure.
- To see that, write $\psi \in \mathbb{C}$ as a vector in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ :

$$
\psi=\psi_{\alpha}+i \psi_{\beta} \rightarrow \vec{\psi}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\psi_{\alpha} \\
\psi_{\beta}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

- Then $i \rightarrow J=\left[\begin{array}{rr}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right], J^{2}=-I . J \vec{\psi}=\left[\begin{array}{r}-\psi_{\beta} \\ \psi_{\alpha}\end{array}\right]$.



## Geometric quantum mechanics: Structure II

- The complex inner product between $\psi=\psi_{\alpha}+i \psi_{\beta}$ and $\phi=\phi_{\alpha}+i \phi_{\beta}$ is given by

$$
\langle\psi \mid \phi\rangle=\psi^{*} \phi=\underbrace{\left(\psi_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}+\psi_{\beta} \phi_{\beta}\right)}_{\vec{\psi} \cdot \vec{\phi}}+i \underbrace{\left(\psi_{\alpha} \phi_{\beta}-\psi_{\beta} \phi_{\alpha}\right)}_{\vec{\psi} \times \vec{\phi}} .
$$

- So the probability amplitude is given by

$$
|\langle\psi \mid \phi\rangle|^{2}=|\vec{\psi} \cdot \vec{\phi}|^{2}+|\vec{\psi} \times \vec{\phi}|^{2} .
$$

## Geometric quantum mechanics: Dynamics

- Expand a state in terms of its energy eigenstates as $|\psi\rangle=\sum_{n} \psi_{n}|n\rangle$.

$$
i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\psi\rangle=H|\psi\rangle \Longrightarrow \psi_{n}=N_{n} e^{-i \omega_{n} t}
$$

where $H|n\rangle=\hbar \omega_{n}|n\rangle$.

- Write $\psi_{n}=q_{n}+i p_{n}$. Then $\vec{\psi}(t)=N_{n}\left[\begin{array}{r}\cos \left(\omega_{n} t\right) \\ -\sin \left(\omega_{n} t\right)\end{array}\right]$, and

$$
\frac{d q_{n}}{d t}=\omega_{n} p_{n}, \quad \frac{d p_{n}}{d t}=-\omega_{n} q_{n}
$$

- These are the classical Hamilton equations for coupled harmonic oscillators!

$$
H=\sum_{n} \frac{1}{2} \omega_{n}\left(q_{n}^{2}+p_{n}^{2}\right) .
$$

## Generalization of geometric quantum mechanics

A generalization suggests itself: Replace the dynamics of the harmonic oscillator with a more complicated Hamiltonian. But not every arbitrary extension will be consistent and physically sensible!

- We extend this dynamics to that of an asymmetric top, with two conserved quantities

$$
E=\frac{q_{1}^{2}}{2 l_{1}}+\frac{q_{2}^{2}}{2 l_{2}}+\frac{q_{3}^{2}}{2 l_{3}}, \text { and } L^{2}=q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}+q_{3}^{2}
$$

- The equations of motion are given by

$$
\frac{d q_{i}}{d t}=\epsilon_{i j k}\left(\frac{1}{I_{j}}-\frac{1}{I_{k}}\right) q_{j} q_{k}
$$

## Jacobi elliptic functions

- The solution of the above equations of motion is given in terms of Jacobi elliptic functions.

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{1}(t) & =N_{1} \operatorname{cn}(\Omega t, k), \\
q_{2}(t) & =-N_{2} \operatorname{sn}(\Omega t, k), \\
q_{3}(t) & =-N_{3} \operatorname{dn}(\Omega t, k) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- These functions appear when parametrizing the arc-length of an ellipse of eccentricity $k$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{sn}(u, k)=\left(1+\frac{k^{2}}{16}+\frac{7 k^{4}}{256}\right) \sin v+\left(\frac{k^{2}}{16}+\frac{k^{4}}{32}\right) \sin (3 v)+\cdots \\
& \operatorname{cn}(u, k)=\left(1-\frac{k^{2}}{16}-\frac{9 k^{4}}{256}\right) \cos v+\left(\frac{k^{2}}{16}+\frac{k^{4}}{32}\right) \cos (3 v)+\cdots \\
& \operatorname{dn}(u, k)=\left(1-\frac{k^{2}}{4}-\frac{5 k^{4}}{64}\right)+\left(\frac{k^{2}}{4}+\frac{k^{4}}{16}\right) \cos (2 v)+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

## Consequences of the generalized dynamics

- The wavefunction is replaced by

$$
\vec{\psi}_{n}=\underbrace{N_{n}\left[\begin{array}{r}
\cos \left(\omega_{n} t\right) \\
-\sin \left(\omega_{n} t\right)
\end{array}\right]}_{|\vec{\psi}|^{2}=N_{n}^{2}} \rightarrow \vec{\Psi}_{n}=\underbrace{A_{n}\left[\begin{array}{r}
c_{\xi} \operatorname{cn}\left(\Omega_{n} t, k\right) \\
-\kappa_{\xi} \operatorname{sn}\left(\Omega_{n} t, k\right) \\
-s_{\xi} \operatorname{dn}\left(\Omega_{n} t, k\right)
\end{array}\right]}_{|\vec{\Psi}|^{2}=A_{n}^{2}},
$$

where $c_{\xi}=\cos \xi, s_{\xi}=\sin \xi, \kappa_{\xi}=\sqrt{c_{\xi}^{2}+k^{2} s_{\xi}^{2}}$, and $0 \leq k<1$ and $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \xi \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ are the deformation parameters.

- When $k=\xi=0, \vec{\Psi}_{n} \rightarrow \vec{\psi}_{n}$ and the canonical QM limit is recovered.
- The inner product is generalized to

$$
|\langle\Psi \mid \Phi\rangle|^{2}=\left(\vec{\Psi}_{n} \cdot \vec{\Phi}_{n}\right)^{2}+\left(\vec{\Psi}_{n} \times \vec{\Phi}_{n}\right) \cdot\left(\vec{\Psi}_{n} \times \vec{\Phi}_{n}\right) .
$$

- Generalized probability amplitude $\Longrightarrow$ Observable consequences!


## Phase space of Nambu ${ }^{2}$ quantum mechanics

## The parameters $k \sim$ eccentricity and $\xi \sim$ size.

$k^{2}=0$


$$
k^{2}=0.6
$$



$$
k^{2}=0.3
$$



$$
k^{2}=0.9
$$



Figure: The colored lines in each figure indicate $\xi=0$ (Black), $\xi=\pi / 8$ (Blue), $\xi=\pi / 4$ (Orange), $\xi=3 \pi / 8$ (Green), and $\xi=\pi / 2$ (Red). When $\xi=0$, the trajectory always follows the equator regardless of the value of $k$.
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## Neutrino oscillation probability

- Flavor eigenstates of neutrinos, $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$, are superpositions of their mass eigenstates, $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\alpha\rangle & =\cos \theta|1\rangle+\sin \theta|2\rangle \\
|\beta\rangle & =-\sin \theta|1\rangle+\cos \theta|2\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

- This causes the phenomena of interference and oscillation.
- Neutrino oscillation is a function of the ratio $(\Delta t / E)$. For canonical QM,

$$
P(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)=\sin ^{2} 2 \theta \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\Delta m^{2} L}{4 E}\right), L \approx c \Delta t
$$

For Nambu QM upto $\mathcal{O}\left(k^{2}\right)$,

$$
P(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)=\left(c_{\xi}^{2}+\frac{k^{2}}{2} s_{\xi}^{2}\right) \sin ^{2} 2 \theta \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\Delta m^{2} L}{4 E}\right)
$$

Atmospheric neutrino data can be used to constrain these parameters. See arXiv:2310.07457.

## Neutrino oscillation $=$ Double slit experiment




$$
\begin{array}{r}
P_{(\alpha, \alpha)}(A \rightarrow D)=\left|\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}+\beta_{1} \beta_{2}\right|^{2} \\
=\underbrace{\left|\alpha_{1}\right|^{2}\left|\alpha_{2}\right|^{2}}_{P_{A C D}}+\underbrace{\left|\beta_{1}\right|^{2}\left|\beta_{2}\right|^{2}}_{P_{A B D}}+\underbrace{2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{1}^{*} \alpha_{2}^{*} \beta_{1} \beta_{2}\right)}_{l_{2}(\alpha, \beta)} .
\end{array}
$$

## Legget-Garg inequality and neutrino oscillation

- Consider the two-flavor case again with flavors $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Let $Q=+1$ if a neutrino is found in flavor $\alpha$ and $Q=-1$ if in $\beta$.

$$
\left\langle Q\left(t_{i}\right) Q\left(t_{j}\right)\right\rangle=\sum_{i, j} P_{i j} Q\left(t_{i}\right) Q\left(t_{j}\right) .
$$

- For classical theories

$$
K_{3}:=\left\langle Q\left(t_{0}\right) Q\left(t_{1}\right)\right\rangle+\left\langle Q\left(t_{1}\right) Q\left(t_{2}\right)\right\rangle-\left\langle Q\left(t_{0}\right) Q\left(t_{2}\right)\right\rangle \leq 1 .
$$

- Quantum mechanics violates this Legget-Garg ${ }^{3}$ inequality $\left(K_{3}>1\right)$. Has been confirmed by tests on various atomic systems.
- Due to $(\Delta t / E)$ dependence, we can simply measure neutrinos at the same time but with different energies! ${ }^{4}$
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## Testing LG with neutrino oscillations

- For two flavors,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\langle Q_{i} Q_{j}\right\rangle=\sum_{Q_{i}, Q_{j}= \pm 1} Q_{i} Q_{j} P_{i j}\left(t_{i}, t_{j}\right) \\
=2 P_{\alpha \alpha}\left(t_{i}, t_{j}\right)-1
\end{array}
$$

- Therefore,

$$
K_{3}=1-4\left|\beta_{1}\right|^{2}\left|\beta_{2}\right|^{2}-\underbrace{4 \operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{1}^{*} \alpha_{2}^{*} \beta_{1} \beta_{2}\right)}_{2 I(\alpha, \beta)} .
$$

- Note that the term $2 I(\alpha, \beta)$ makes $K_{3}>1$ possible.
- LG tests interference. That means it can be used to test or constrain a theory that predicts a different interference pattern than canonical QM.
${ }^{5}$ See D. S. Chattopadhyay and A Dighe (arXiv:2304.02475), where a different parameter is also proposed as a measure of "quantumness".


## Triple path interference

$\xrightarrow{\text { time }}$


- In a triple slit experiment:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
P_{(\alpha, \alpha)}(A \rightarrow D)=\left|\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}+\beta_{1} \beta_{2}+\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right|^{2}=P_{A B D}+P_{A E D}+P_{A C D} \\
+I_{2}(\alpha, \beta)+I_{2}(\alpha, \gamma)+I_{2}(\beta, \gamma)
\end{array}
$$

- The quantity $I_{3}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)_{6}$ is non-zero only when there is triple-path interference.
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## Phenomenology beyond quantum mechanics

- $\vec{\psi}_{m} \times \vec{\phi}_{n}$ calculates the area of the parallelogram spanned by the vectors $\vec{\psi}_{m}$ and $\vec{\phi}_{n}$. It gives rise to non-zero interference in QM.
- It might be possible to include a triple-interference term in Nambu QM if we can incorporate a volume element

$$
\vec{\psi}_{m} \cdot\left(\vec{\phi}_{n} \times \vec{\chi}_{k}\right)
$$

into a unitary formulation.

- This in turn would modify LG and violate it more strongly than canonical QM.


## Summary

- The foundations of quantum mechanics can be confronted with experiments.
- Generalizations of QM can help test old assumptions and provide new phenomenology.
- Nambu QM extension can potentially provide a model for two beyond QM phenomena: the triple path interference and super-quantum correlations.
- Neutrinos might turn out to be ideal systems for probing these issues experimentally.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This formulation was developed in Kibble ('79), Heslot ('85), Ashtekar ('97), Brody ('99) and more.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The reason for this name is explained in Minic \& Tze, Phys.Lett.B 536 (2002) 305-314.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ A. J. Leggett and A. Garg PRL. 54, 857
    ${ }^{4}$ Formaggio et. al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 050402

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ Sorkin ('94) has studied this hierarchy in detail. Also see PRD 105, 115013 by PH, HM, DM, RP, TT for relation to neutrinos.

